Pandemics and Cities: Evidence from the Black Death

Remi Jedwab and Noel D. Johnson and Mark Koyama*

February 10, 2021

Abstract

We ask what effects a high fatality rate pandemic could have on long-run economic development. The Black Death killed 40% of Europe's population between 1347 and 1352. Using a novel dataset on plague mortality at the city level, we explore the impacts it had on local economic development. On average, cities recovered their pre-plague populations within two centuries. However, aggregate convergence masked heterogeneity in urban recovery. Both of these facts are consistent with populations returning to high-mortality locations endowed with more rural and urban fixed factors of production. Land suitability and natural and historical trade networks played a vital role in urban recovery. Our study thus highlights the role played by pandemics and physical and economic geography in determining the relative size of cities in less developed countries.

JEL: R11; R12; O11; O47; J11; N00; N13

Keywords: Pandemics; Cities; Localized Shocks; Path Dependence

^{*}Remi Jedwab: Department of Economics, George Washington University, jedwab@gwu.edu. Noel Johnson: Department of Economics, George Mason University and CEPR. mkoyama2@gmu.edu. Mark Koyama: Department of Economics, George Mason University and CEPR. mkoyama2@gmu.edu. We are grateful to Daron Acemoglu, Brian Beach, Sascha Becker, Hoyt Bleakley, Leah Boustan, Donald Davis, Jonathan Dingel, Dave Donaldson, Oded Galor, Edward Glaeser, Walker Hanlon, Stephan Heblich, Daniel Keniston, Jeffrey Lin, Jared Rubin, Daniel Sturm, and audiences at Barcelona-GSE, Bocconi, CEPR Economic History (Dublin), Chapman, Cliometrics, Columbia (Zoom), the Deep-Rooted Factors in Comparative Development Conference (Brown), George Mason, George Washington, Harvard, Michigan, NBER DAE SI, NBER Urban SI, Stellenbosch, Stanford, UEA (Philadelphia Fed), UN-Habitat, WEHC and World Bank for helpful comments. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the Institute for International Economic Policy at George Washington and the Mercatus Center at George Mason. We thank Fernando Arteaga, Trey Dudley, Zhilong Ge, and Jessi Troyan for research assistance. This paper was previously circulated as *"Pandemics, Places, and Populations: Evidence from the Black Death" (CEPR DP 13523 (2019))*.

Davis and Weinstein (2002) use a "spatial catastrophe"—the bombing of Japanese cities during World War II—to investigate the importance of various theories of urban location. We build on their work by studying the effects of the Black Death, the largest demographic shock in modern history. It killed 40% of Europe's population between 1347-1352. Some regions and cities were spared, others were devastated—England, France, Italy and Spain lost 50-60% of their populations. While the Black Death has been widely studied, little is known about its effects on city growth, and the long-run effects of pandemics on cities. It is particularly important to study how a population shock such as that caused by pandemics affect cities as agglomeration effects often play a crucial role in explaining the distribution of urban population. Fortunately, recent disease outbreaks have featured either low rates of contagion (e.g. Ebola) or relatively low fatality rates (e.g. COVID-19). But what if a pandemic that is both highly contagious and has a high fatality rate, like the Black Death (70%), was to spread?¹

In this paper, we use city-level data on Black Death mortality to test whether cities that experience very high mortality shocks can be permanently affected, and if not, explore the mechanisms that lead them to recover. A priori, the citylevel effects of mortality shocks are ambiguous: (i) If there are local increasing returns a large negative shock to a population could lead to a negative feedback cycle in which city wages and population continue to decline; (ii) If urban incomes rely largely on spatially fixed factors of production such as land and other natural resources such as bodies of water, i.e. locational fundamentals, or if there are historically sunk investments in housing and infrastructure, net wages go up due to labor scarcity, thus allowing population recovery by either causing migration from low to high-mortality areas or increasing net fertility in the latter; alternatively (iii) If the population shock and the resulting higher wages release financial constraints, thus permitting investments in capital, or leads to beneficial institutional changes, high-mortality cities may gain

¹COVID-19 is highly contagious but its infection fatality rate is likely under 0.5% and it has killed 0.03% of the world's population so far. See https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/ novel-coronavirus-2019 for more information. See Benedictow (2005, 2010) for the Black Death.

a long-run productivity advantage and keep growing. The implications of each of these models for long-run city growth are different: relative *decline* (i), *recovery* (ii) or *growth acceleration* (iii). The relative importance of local increasing returns, locational fundamentals, historically sunk investments, and financial/institutional changes may then vary across contexts. For example, locational fundamentals might play a disproportionately bigger role in less developed economies, thus increasing the likelihood of the recovery scenario.

Unlike other shocks considered in the literature, our shock was exceptionally large. The Black Death was also a comparatively "pure" population shock. More precisely, buildings and equipment were not destroyed and the event itself did not directly target a particular demographic group. This makes our setting well suited to test for the path dependent effects of mortality shocks (see Bleakley and Lin (2015) and Hanlon and Heblich (2020) for surveys of the path dependence literature). In contrast, wars and bombings, as studied by Davis and Weinstein (2002, 2008), Glocker and Sturm (2014), Redding and Sturm (2016) and Caicedo and Riaño (2020) also led to massive physical destruction and resulted in government reconstruction programs. Disasters such as floods and fires, as studied by Boustan et al. (2017) and Hornbeck and Keniston (2017) kill far less people but also lead to physical destruction. Climate change, as studied by Waldinger (2015) and Henderson et al. (2017a), can potentially kill people, but in this scenario physical geography is also, by construction, changing. Malaria, HIV, or the 1918 influenza pandemic, as studied by Bleakley (2010), Depetris-Chauvin and Weil (2018), Young (2005), Almond (2006) and Donaldson and Keniston (2016) disproportionately kill subgroups of the population.²

Using data for 165 cities, comprising 60% of the total urban population of Western Europe, we find that between 1300 and 1400 a 10 percentage point higher mortality rate was associated with a 8.7 percentage point fall in city population. After two centuries the impact of mortality was zero, in part due

²Malaria tends to kill children whereas HIV kills working-age adults (Weil, 2010). The 1918 influenza killed the "very young, those around age 30, and the elderly" (Almond, 2006). COVID-19 disproportionately kills individuals above 60 or with pre-existing medical conditions.

to migration from low-mortality areas. When we examine the spill-over and general equilibrium effects of the Black Death, we find similarly negative effects in the short-run and nil effects in the long-run, and likewise for rural areas. While rural recovery was to be expected, given how important (fixed) land is for agriculture, a surprising fact is that large cities recovered as well, consistent with scenario (ii) above. Thus, in less developed economies where locational fundamentals and sunk investments may play a relatively more important role for cities, pandemics might not durably affect their relative city size distribution. Nonetheless, where populations were initially sub-optimally located, there was potential for populations to be reallocated to cities with better fixed factors.

Consistent with that fact, we show that urban recovery is almost entirely explained by the interacted effects of mortality with city characteristics that proxy for fixed factors of production: rural fixed factors related to better land suitability, but also urban fixed factors related to natural advantages (e.g., coastal access and waterways) or historically sunk investments (e.g., roads and trade networks) favoring trade. We show that aggregate urban recovery hides permutations in the distribution of cities. Some cities permanently collapsed after the Black Death whereas other cities gained in the long run. We provide evidence that these permutations were associated with the presence of rural and urban fixed factors. Importantly, many prominent present-day cities would have fallen into oblivion absent fixed factors. Lastly, since permutations favored cities with better land and trade potential, urban systems may have become more productive.³

These results are causal. First, the virulence of the Black Death was unrelated to factors related to future city growth. Second, the parallel trends assumption is verified. Third, our results hold when we implement instrumental variables strategies premised on the facts that: (i) the Black Death entered Europe through the Sicilian port of Messina (largely by chance) and was more virulent in its earlier stages (for pathogenic reasons); (ii) it was connectedness to Messina and not connectedness to other important cities that mattered for plague virulence; and

³This result echoes the work of Michaels and Rauch (2018).

(iii) the Black Death was more lethal in cities in which it reached its peak in the summer since the fleas that transmitted the disease were more active then.

We contribute to research on shocks and long-run urban persistence. Numerous studies have shown how path dependent spatial economic patterns are. Different causes have been advanced for this path dependence, including locational fundamentals (i.e. natural advantages), sunk investments (i.e. manmade advantages), agglomeration effects (i.e. the direct effect of scale), or institutions (Henderson and Thisse, eds, 2004; Bleakley and Lin, 2012; Maloney and Caicedo, 2015; Hanlon, 2017; Henderson et al., 2017b; Dalgaard et al., 2018a).

We complement Davis and Weinstein (2002, 2008) in four ways. First, our shock is far larger. Mean plague mortality was 40% and all cities were impacted, with mortality equal to 5% and 80% at the 1st and 99th percentiles. In contrast, 20% and 8.5% of the populations of Hiroshima and Nagasaki were killed during WWII, respectively, and 80% of Japan's cities were not targeted. Second, no buildings were destroyed and government assistance was non-existent in our context. We isolate the effects of mortality, and thus the role of population scale. Third, Davis and Weinstein explain that cities with strong defense capabilities, of historical significance, or with a specific topography, were spared by the bombings whereas plague virulence was apparently exogenous. Fourth, they do not interact the bombings with the characteristics of the cities to identify which locational fundamentals and sunk investments mattered for urban recovery.

We find that land suitability and natural and historical trade networks played a vital role in urban recovery after the plague. Our paper is thus also related to Henderson, Squires, Storeygard and Weil (2017b) who show how both agriculture- and trade-related geographic variables explain the long-run distribution of economic activity globally. Due to historically high transportation costs, cities had to be closer, or naturally connected, to agriculturally suitable areas. Instead of studying how the influence of these factors has changed over time, we study their local importance after a massive population shock.⁴

4

⁴Other studies finding a strong impact of geography on spatial development include Bosker,

The standard economic model of growth in the preindustrial world is Malthusian (Galor, 2005; Ashraf and Galor, 2011; Galor, 2011) and the Black Death is viewed as a classic test in support of the Malthusian model as the collapse in population was associated with rising income per capita (see discussions in Hatcher and Bailey, 2001; Clark, 2007; Jedwab et al., 2021).⁵ We examine the effects of the Black Death on local urban economic development, which is not the focus of the existing macro-historical literature (such as Wilde, 2017).

For example, Voigtländer and Voth (2013b) adapt the Malthusian model to show how a large mortality shock can trigger a transition to a new steady state. When wages increase, non-homothetic preferences raise the demand for urban goods and spur urbanization. Since cities were unhealthy then and because the plague returned frequently and conflict was endemic, death rates and incomes remained high. They apply this model to explain the Great Divergence between Europe and China.⁶ The macro-historical literature, however, has focused on urban recovery in the aggregate and not on which areas recovered and which declined as a result of a shock that varied considerably across cities.

We complement the important macro theoretical analyses cited above with an econometric analysis of the spatial effects of the Black Death. Unlike other studies in economics or history, we focus on cities, use for the first time data on city-specific mortality rates, examine factors that led mortality to vary across space, estimate causal effects, provide evidence for city (not just country) recovery, emphasize the importance of urban fixed factors and migration in that recovery process, document permutations in the ranking of cities, and identify

⁶Voigtländer and Voth (2013b) show graphically that those countries that experienced a bigger population decline in 1300-1400 urbanized faster in later centuries. Given their aggregate focus, they do not use disaggregated mortality data and do not estimate local effects.

Buringh and van Zanden (2013); Maloney and Caicedo (2015); Andersen, Dalgaard and Selaya (2016); Bosker and Buringh (2017); Dalgaard, Knudsen and Selaya (2020). Several papers also shed light on aspects of medieval cities, for example de la Croix, Doepke and Mokyr (2018) on guilds, Croix et al. (2019); de la Croix and Morault (2020) on universities, and Becker et al. (2020) on fiscal institutions (see Jedwab et al. (2020) for a survey of the literature on Medieval cities).

⁵Important contributions to the historical literature on the Black Death include: Ziegler (1969); Brenner (1976); Gottfried (1983); Benedictow (2005); Pamuk (2007); Clark (2016); Campbell (2016). While historians have documented that European countries' total populations eventually recovered, these studies in general do not use localized mortality data or econometric analyses.

which factors mattered for city recovery and the permutations.⁷ More generally, understanding how the plague interacted with locational fundamentals and sunk investments improves our understanding of how, in Malthusian economies, pandemics might lead to a beneficial spatial reallocation of economic activity.⁸

Finally, most pre-COVID studies of the consequences of pandemics use macroeconomic approaches (Young, 2005; Barro et al., 2020). The few existing micro- or meso-economic studies of the long-run effects of past pandemics focus on developed countries (Almond, 2006; Beach et al., 2018), one exception being Donaldson and Keniston (2016) for India (all of them study the 1918 Influenza).⁹ Likewise, there is a limited literature on the effects of Ebola (Bowles et al., 2016). Given its high case fatality rate (50%), an uncontrolled Ebola pandemic could have dramatic effects in Africa (UNECA, 2015; Gates, 2018), but it is difficult to forecast which consequences without more evidence on the long-run effects of past high-mortality pandemics. Pre-industrial economies had comparable income levels as low-income countries today (Bolt and van Zanden, 2014). Scarce, and spatially fixed, resources – land and other natural resources – are still largely important in less developed economies today (Weil and Wilde, 2009; Acemoglu et al., 2019). Our context of decentralized polities with weak state capacity is also similar to that observed in poor countries today.

1. Data

See Web Appx. Sections 1.-3. for details and Table A.1 for summary statistics. **Mortality.** Data on cumulative Black Death mortality for the period 1347-

6

⁷Jedwab et al. (2019) investigate the short-term effects of the Black Death on the persecution of Jews. They show that higher-mortality cities persecuted Jewish communities less and that Jews were relatively skilled. Given this result, we will show that results hold when controlling for the occurrence of a persecution. The working paper of the current manuscript predates Jedwab et al. (2019) by a few years and the mortality data was initially created for current manuscript.

⁸A related paper is Boerner and Severgnini (2014) who use data on the diffusion of the Black Death to estimate its speed of transmission between cities, and its determinants. To our knowledge, they do not use data on mortality and do not study the plague's effects on cities.

⁹See https://voxeu.org/pages/covid-19-page for the literature on COVID-19. There is also a recent but limited literature on the effects of the recurrences of the Black Death that occurred in the centuries following the Black Death (e.g., Bosker et al., 2008; Wilde, 2017; Siuda and Sunde, 2017; Dittmar and Meisenzahl, 2019). However, these events were on average much less deadly than the Black Death and only affected a few cities at a time (Aberth, 2010, p.37).

1352 come from Christakos et al. (2005, 117-122) who compile data on mortality rates based on information from a wide array of historical sources including ecclesiastical and parish records, testaments, tax records, court rolls, chroniclers' reports, donations to the church, financial transactions, mortality of famous people, letters, edicts, guild records, hospital records, cemeteries and tombstones. Christakos et al. (2005) carefully examine each data point and arbitrate between conflicting estimates based on the best available information. We have checked these data using other sources including Ziegler (1969), Russell (1972), Gottfried (1983), and Benedictow (2005) (see Web Appx. Section 1. for details). These data yield mortality estimates for 274 localities in 16 countries.

For 177 of these we have a percentage estimate. In other cases the sources report more qualitative estimates: (i) For 49 cities Christakos et al. (2005) provide a literary description of mortality. We rank these descriptions based on the implied magnitude of the shock and assign each one of them a numeric rate.¹⁰ (ii) For 19 cities we know clergy mortality. Christakos et al. (2005) show that clergy mortality was 8% higher than general mortality, so we divide the clergy mortality rates by 1.08.11 (iii) For 29 cities we know the desertion rate, which includes nonreturnees. Following Christakos et al. (2005, 154-155), who show that desertion rates were 1.2 times higher than mortality rates, we divide desertion rates by 1.2. Cities. Our main source is the Bairoch (1988) dataset, which reports population estimates for 1,726 cities between 800 and 1850. Observations are provided for every century up to 1700 and then for each fifty year interval. The criterion for inclusion in the dataset is a city population greater than 1,000 inhabitants. We update Bairoch where historians - Chandler (1974, 1987), Nicholas (1997), and Campbell (2008) – have revised estimates of the population of particular cities. We also add 76 cities mentioned in Christakos et al. (2005). In the end, we obtain 1,801 cities and focus on 1100-1850 (see Web Appx. Section 2. for details).¹²

¹⁰5% for "spared"/"escaped", 10% for "partially spared"/"minimal", 20% for "low", 25% for "moderate", 50% for "high", 66% for "highly depopulated", and 80% for "decimated".

¹¹Clergymen were the only exception to our statement that specific populations were not targeted. Clergymen, however, only comprised a few individuals so this should not matter overall.

¹²The 76 added cities are comparatively small, typically below a few thousand individuals. As

Sample. Our sample consists of 165 cities existing in 1300 and for which we know the Black Death mortality rate. They comprise 60% of the urban population of Western Europe in 1300. We map these along with their mortality rates in Fig. 1. Controls for locational fundamentals include growing season **Controls.** temperature, elevation, soil suitability for cereal production, potato cultivation and pastoral farming, dummies for whether the city is within 10 km from a coast or river, and longitude and latitude. To proxy for increasing returns, we control for population and market access in 1300. We calculate market access for every city in our main sample to the cities of the full sample for which we have populations in 1300. Market access for town *i* is defined as $MA_i = \Sigma_j(L_j) \div (\tau_{ij}^{\sigma})$, with L_j being the population of town $j \neq i$, τ_{ij} the travel time between town i and town *j*, and $\sigma = 3.8$ (Donaldson, 2018). We compute the least cost travel paths via four transportation modes-sea, river, road and walking -using the Plague diffusion data from Boerner and Severgnini (2014). To proxy for sunk investments, we control for the presence of major and minor Roman roads (and their intersections) (McCormick et al., 2013), medieval trade routes (and their intersections) (Shepherd, 1923), and dummies capturing the presence of market fairs, membership in the Hanseatic league (Dollinger, 1970), whether a city possessed a university (Bosker et al., 2013), and whether a city was within 10 km of an aqueduct (Talbert, ed, 2000). To control for *institutions*, we distinguish between cities located in monarchies, self-governing cities, or whether the city was a state capital around 1300 (Bosker et al., 2013; Stasavage, 2014). We measure parliamentary activity during the 14th century (Zanden et al., 2012) and control for whether a city was within 100 km of a battle in 1300-50.

2. The Shock

Epidemiology. The Black Death arrived in Europe in October 1347 after ships carrying the Plague from Kaffa in Crimea stopped in Messina in Sicily (Figure 1 shows their locations). Over the next three years it spread across Europe killing 40% of the population (we calculate a 38.9% mortality rate for the 274 localities

such, it is likely that Bairoch (1988) captures almost all significant cities circa 1300.

with mortality data). Recent discoveries in plague pits have corroborated the hypothesis that the Black Death was Bubonic plague (Benedictow, 2005, 2010). The bacterium *Yersinia Pestis* was transmitted by the fleas of the black rat. Infected fleas suffer from a blocked esophagus. These "blocked" fleas are unable to sate themselves and continue to bite rats or humans, regurgitating the bacterium into the bite wound. Within less than a week, the bacteria is transmitted from the bite to the lymph nodes causing them to become buboes. Once infected, death occurred within ten days with 70% probability.

One important question is to what extent the epidemiological characteristics of the Black Death made its spread endogenous to population density and trade. The Black Death was largely *bubonic plague* and in this sense differed from more recent diseases, such as the 1918 Influenza or COVID-19, which are *pneumonic*. Pneumonic diseases are spread from inhaling respiratory droplets of infected individuals who are in close contact and causes an infection in the lungs. The Black Death, by contrast, was caused by flea bites and caused an infection in the lymph nodes. Direct person-to-person transmission was rare (Campbell, 2016, 235), weakening the potential of density and trade to explain its spread.

However, fleas cannot spread the disease far in the absence of hosts. An infected rat carrying infected fleas could board a ship or wagon and hide in the barrels, bags, or straw it transported. Likewise, the body or clothes of a person walking or on horseback could carry infected fleas. It is important to note that rats travel at low speeds and tend not to stray far from their home territories. Yet, dispersal occurs over long distances (10 km) if resources are scarce or for mate-searching (Byers et al., 2019). Thus, a rat may plausibly infect other rats 10 km away, and in turn that population cluster could infect other rats 10 km away, etc. Once an infected host carrying infected fleas arrives in an uninfected community, other potential hosts coming in close contact to the infected host (whether alive or dead) become infected as they themselves get bitten by infected fleas. The disease then spreads among the rat and human populations. As such, factors such as population density and trade may have been important determinants of

the speed with which the disease spread, but not necessarily its mortality rate.

An important epidemiological fact about the Plague that we exploit is that the virulence was far greater in cities affected earlier (Christakos et al., 2005, 212-213). Initially, epidemics spread exponentially. As more people have been infected and survived or died, and the pool of susceptible hosts in the aggregate population decreases, the disease might mutate in favor of benign pathogens that facilitate transmission, but at the expense of mortality.¹³ Pathogen mutation also increases individual immune responses due to "contacted individuals becoming infected only if they are exposed to strains that are significantly different from other strains in their memory repertoire" (Girvan et al., 2002). Pathogen mutation and natural immunization may eventually cause an epidemic to end.

This could explain why Sicilian cities had abnormally high mortality rates (two thirds on average) rather than them being situated on the coast or correlated with other characteristics related to trade potential (Figure 1). Indeed, other coastal cities such as Barcelona, Bristol, Edinburgh and Rostock experienced much lower mortality rates. Likewise, this also explains why average mortality strongly decreased over time (see Figure 2(a)) and why the disease disappeared on its own after more than three years. If we compare the mortality rates of cities infected 1 month after the initial arrival of the Plague in Messina to cities infected after 6, 12, 24 and 36 months, the average mortality difference is 9, 13, 22 and 39 percentage points. Thus, a difference of a few months in the arrival date of the Plague in a city had dramatic effects on the city's cumulative mortality rate.

This begs the question, what determined why some cities got infected relatively earlier than others? Population density and trade could have mattered in theory, since the largest and most connected cities of Europe may have received infected people and cargoes before other cities. However, as we explain below, it wasn't trade potential *in general* that mattered, but rather how

10

¹³According to Berngruber et al. (2013): "[...] selection for pathogen virulence and horizontal transmission is highest at the onset [...] but decreases thereafter, as the epidemic depletes the pool of susceptible hosts [...] In the early stage of an epidemic susceptible hosts are abundant and virulent pathogens that invest more into horizontal transmission should win the competition. Later on, [a smaller pool of susceptible hosts favors] [...] benign pathogens [...]."

connected a city was to the origin point of the disease in Europe—Messina. **Why Messina?** The disease first arrived in Messina in late 1347, which at the time was only the 55th largest city in Europe. While the exact origin of the Black Death is unknown, we do know that Astrakhan, a trade centre located on the Volga river near the Caspian Sea, was infected in 1345. Kaffa, a Genoese colony in Crimea, was then infected in 1346. It was from here that the Genoese galleys with infected rats and humans on their voyage home stopped in Messina in October 1347. Two months later boats left for, and infected, Genoa. Other infected boats probably also traveled from Messina to other Mediterranean cities around the same time.

Messina did not have to be the point of entry for the Plague. Genoa had other colonies in the Black Sea (Deletant, 1984) including Vinica along the banks of the Danube which led all the way to Vienna, a port of entry of plague recurrences in later centuries (Web Appx. Fig. A.1 maps the cities and routes mentioned in this paragraph). It also had colonies along the Dniester River, at the end of which was Halych, a town located on the East-West trade route that led to Leipzig via Prague. Thus, in 1346, the Plague could have infected these other Genoese colonies and then traveled to Vienna or Leipzig. Moreover, Astrakhan was an important trading centre connected via river to Moscow and Novgorod, which both had river access to the Gulf of Finland. Novgorod traded with Visby (Sweden), one of the centers of the Hanseatic League, a trade network between Northern European cities. Thus, Messina, Genoa, Vienna, Prague, Leipzig and Visby all could have been the port of entry for the plague and trade networks in Central or Northern Europe could have been infected before the Mediterranean basin. Indeed, when we compute the travel times between Astrakhan and each of the counterfactual ports of entry, we find that it would have taken 3 months for the disease to reach any of them *had* it spread in their direction resulting in one of these other cities being infected as early as 1346. Yet, it happened that the disease went a different direction towards Genoa, making a stop in Messina. For our main sample of 165 cities, if we sequentially regress their mortality rates on their Euclidean distances to Messina and each of these alternative ports of entry, we

indeed only find a significant negative effect for Messina (Web Appx. Table A.2). **Once It Arrived in Messina.** When the disease arrived in Messina, it was extremely virulent and the cities closer to Messina that were infected first also had high mortality rates. Trade did matter for the diffusion of the disease, but it was connectedness to Messina that determined the mortality rate of a city. Paris, London, Cologne and Lisboa were among the largest trading cities of Europe but were infected much later than smaller cities closer to Messina and, consequently, experienced relatively lower mortality. Even among cities directly connected to Messina, some were infected earlier than others due to chance. Within the Mediterranean basin, Barcelona, Naples, Rome, and Valencia were infected months after smaller cities such as Aix, Arles, Beziers and Tarragona. In the rest of Europe, smaller hinterland cities such as Grenoble, Lyon, Rouen, and Verona were infected before important coastal cities such as Bordeaux, Bruges, Plymouth, or Lübeck. What mattered was which city received an infected host early, due to chance. Infected rats and fleas were not choosing ships or wagons depending on the economic importance of their final destination. Likewise, among human travelers, some going to smaller cities were already infected and some going to larger cities were not. Plague diffusion also depended on the local populations of black rats. Since they are territorial, i.e. a territory is chosen because enough rats have randomly made similar locational decisions, their numbers were not correlated with population density (Benedictow, 2005). For example, similar death rates are recorded in urban and in rural areas (Herlihy, 1965). Unlike today's brown rats that prefer to live in urban areas, black rats were as likely to be found in rural areas as in urban areas. Indeed, while we find an aggregate mortality rate of 38.9% (based on the 274 urban and rural localities with mortality data), the mortality rate for the 165 cities only was 38.8%.

Seasonal Patterns. Another important fact was that the Plague was at its most virulent during the summer (Benedictow, 2005, 233-235). Fleas become most active when it is warm and humid (Gottfried, 1983, 9). Christakos et al. (2005, 230) notes that mortality displayed seasonal patterns with deaths diminishing

with colder weather "without the epidemic coming to a complete halt". Using available data on the year and month of first and last infection for 61 towns, the average duration of the Black Death was 7 months (see Web Appx. Fig. A.2). According to Christakos et al. (2005, 212-213), mortality on average peaked 3.5 months after the first infection. Therefore, cities infected in late fall escaped relatively unscathed compared to cities infected in spring.

Importance of Random Factors. Plague virulence had a significant random component, depending on a city's proximity to Messina, whether infected humans and rats visited the city early by mischance, the size of its rat population, and whether the disease arrived in spring (see Web Appx. Section 4. for more qualitative evidence). When studying variation in mortality rates across space, historical accounts have been unable to rationalize the patterns in the data (Ziegler, 1969; Gottfried, 1983; Theilmann and Cate, 2007; Cohn and Alfani, 2007). Venice had high mortality (60%) while Milan escaped comparatively unscathed (15%). Paris' mortality rate was almost 20 points lower than London's. Highly urbanized Sicily suffered heavily. Equally urbanized Flanders, however, had low death rates. Southern Europe and the Mediterranean were hit hard, but so were the British Isles and Scandinavia.¹⁴ Likewise, Christakos et al. (2005, 150) explain that some scholars have "argued that Black Death hit harder the ports and large cities along trade routes" but that "the generalization is logically valid at a regional level at best" and that "examples and counterexamples abound."

Consistent with this, Figure 3(a) illustrates the lack of a relationship between mortality (1347-52) and log city population in 1300 (Y = 42.5^{***} - 1.01 X; Obs. = $165;R^2 = 0.00$) in our sample of 165 cities. For the 88 cities with data on walled area we also find no relationship with population density (Web Appx. Fig. A.3). Likewise, Fig. 3(b) indicates no relationship between mortality and log market access in 1300 (Y = 40.0^{***} -0.20 X; Obs. = 124; $R^2 = 0.00$). Note that random measurement error in dependent variables (mortality) does not lead to bias. However, random measurement error in market access produces a downward

¹⁴Gottfried (1983, 69) notes that the explanation that sanitation accounts for this pattern does not hold given the "failure of Venice's excellent sanitation to stem the deadly effect of the plague".

bias, and non-classical measurement error is possible. However, Web Appx. Table A.3 shows no correlation when: (i) using a lower trade elasticities in the market access calculation (2 or 1, instead of 3.8);¹⁵ (ii) using alternative measures of transport costs or Euclidean distance, which has the advantage of not having to rely on speeds related to plague diffusion itself;¹⁶ (iii) including the city itself;¹⁷ (iv) including other (mostly Eastern) European cities not in our main sample of 16 countries; and (v) including cities of the Middle East and North Africa (MENA).¹⁸ Importantly, in 1300 Eastern Europe and the MENA had far fewer cities than our 16 countries. Eastern Europe was still relatively underdeveloped, with only 70 cities (vs. 466 for Western Europe). The Islamic Golden Age had already ended by 1347 and in the MENA there were about 25% fewer (10,000+) cities than Europe. As such, our cities' market access was driven by in-sample cities.¹⁹ This is consistent with the findings of Bosker et al. (2013) that there was little trade contact between Europe and the MENA during this period.

To summarize, on average, random factors must have compensated for nonrandom factors, making Black Death mortality apparently locally exogenous.

Plague Recurrences. Subsequent outbreaks of bubonic plague reoccurred for two centuries following the Black Death. Epidemiologists and historians have long noted the virulence, spread, and associated mortality of the Black Death dramatically differed from the pattern associated with later outbreaks of bubonic plague (see Web Appx. Section 4.). These plague recurrences were caused either by local plague reservoirs or the repeated reintroduction of the bacteria from Asia (Schmid et al., 2015). In general, only a few cities at a time were affected,

¹⁸We use the data of Bosker et al. (2013). However, only 10,000+ cities are included.

¹⁹We also find very strong correlations (above 0.95) between our main measure of market access and measures of market access including Eastern Europe and the MENA.

 $^{^{15}}$ We use a high sigma because trade costs were high and trade was limited then (relative to today), much like in 19th century India for which sigma = 3.8 was estimated (Donaldson, 2018).

¹⁶Boerner and Severgnini (2014) find that traveling by sea was 1.4 and 2.9 times faster than traveling by river or road. We then assume that walking on a path was twice slower than traveling by road (and thus 5.7 slower than traveling by sea). They also cite other estimates from Pryor (1992) and McCormick (2001) that lead to a different combination: (3.8; 3.8; 7.7).

¹⁷To avoid a zero trade cost, we use the travel cost between Paris and Saint-Denis, two localities 7 km away from each other (Saint-Denis is now part of Paris). Paris' radius was smaller then. However, to account for likely intracity congestion, we do not adjust down the travel cost.

and mortality was much lower than in the initial pandemic (Aberth, 2010, 37). Europe-wide studies of later outbreaks also only focus on the extensive margin of the plague, since, unlike us, they do not have consistent data on the level of mortality experienced by each city during each Plague recurrence.

Composition Effects. Unlike the 1918 Influenza or COVID-19, death rates were similar across groups (see Web Appx. Section 5. for qualitative evidence). The Black Death was "massive and indiscriminate, making no exception to factors such as personal hygiene, health, age, sex, or social class" (Christakos et al., 2005, 150). This is supported by recent studies of plague outbreaks (Alfani and Bonetti, 2018). Christakos et al. (2005, 150) note that the claim that the poor died more than the rich is a plausible sounding statement "rather than an independent scientific conclusion derived from the analysis of real Black Death data".²⁰ Neither the medical profession nor authorities could respond. Medical knowledge was rudimentary: Boccaccio (2005, 1371) wrote that "all the advice of physicians and all the power of medicine were profitless and unavailing". Individuals, regardless of wealth, could not protect themselves. Prevention measures were nonexistent: the practice of quarantine was not employed until 1377.²¹ Thus, even if the Black Death had *indirect* longer run effects on human capital, due to the economy changing in its aftermath (see Donaldson and Keniston (2016) for an example of how education responded in the aftermath of the 1918 Influenza), our shock did not *directly* impact human capital.

Consequences. The Black Death caused immediate economic damage. In rural areas, harvests went uncollected. In cities, trade was disrupted. As local economies collapsed, there were food shortages and inflation. Campbell (2016, 355) notes that "the simultaneous shock that plague then inflicted upon the supply of labour and the demand for goods and services set in train an immediate and enduring contraction in economic activity". Nominal wages increased immediately after the shock. Real wages, however, rose only gradually due to inflation (Munro, 2003). In England, it was not until the 1380s that real wages

²⁰For contrary evidence, see DeWitte and Wood (2008). However, they study one cemetery only. ²¹The term quarantine was first used in Ragusa in Croatia in 1377 (Gensini et al., 2004).

reached their pre-Plague levels. In the long run wages did increase—peaking in parts of Europe in the mid-15th century before declining as a result of population growth after 1500 (see Web Appx. Section 6. for more qualitative evidence).

The demographic recovery was slow. Web Appx. Fig. 4(a) presents estimates of total population and urbanization for the 16 countries which contain our cities. Europe regained its pre-Plague population by 1600. Urbanization, here defined as the population share of localities above 1,000 inhabitants, rose in the aftermath of the Black Death from 8% to 11% by 1400, and 13% in 1600. This was due to urban areas recovering faster than rural areas (see Web Appx. Fig. 4(b)).

3. Black Death Shock and City Recovery

To estimate the short- and long-run effects of Black Death mortality on (relative) city growth, in order to test whether cities that had relatively high mortality rates were permanently affected, we estimate a series of city-level regressions based on: $\%\Delta Pop_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_t Mort_{i,1347-52} + \epsilon_{i,t}$ (1)

where $\%\Delta Pop_{i,t}$ is the percentage population growth (%) in city *i* over period *t*-1 to *t*, and Mort_{*i*,1347–52} is the city-level cumulative mortality rate (%; 1347-52). We weight observations by their population size in year *t*-1 to minimize issues arising from smaller cities mechanically experiencing larger percentage changes.²²

Col. (1) of Table 1 measures the short-run impact in 1300-1400. The coefficient, -0.87***, should be interpreted relative to the immediate effect in 1347-52, -1.00 by construction. The fact that the coefficient is not significantly different from -1.00 suggests little recovery in population in the decades directly following the onset of the Plague. In terms of magnitude, the effect is large: a one standard deviation increase in mortality is associated with a 0.31 standard deviation decrease in population growth. The effect in 1300-1500 is negative (-0.28, col. (2)) but smaller in size compared to the effect in 1300-1400 and significantly different from -1. Col. (3)-(5) examine the cumulative effect up to

 $^{^{22}}$ For example, the growth rate of a city of 1,000 in *t*-1 and 5,000 in *t* is 400%. Larger cities rarely experience growth rates as high. While this is a standard issue when using percentage growth-based outcomes, we choose this as our main specification because the interpretation of the coefficient is straightforward. We will show later that results hold with alternative specifications.

1750. The coefficient increases to 0.36, 0.47 and 0.85 by 1600, 1700 and 1750 respectively. However, the magnitudes are small: A one standard deviation increase in mortality is associated with a 0.02-0.03 standard deviation increase in population growth, implying total recovery. The effects are also not significant. Likewise, if we use 1850 or 2015, we still find small and insignificant effects: the standardized effect is 0.02 and 0.00, respectively (not shown, but available upon request). Section 2. suggests Plague virulence was not well explained by city characteristics. We now provide further evidence that it was plausibly exogenous. **Biases.** For the short-run a downward bias is more problematic than an upward bias as we then underestimate the negative effect of the Plague (the true effect in 1300-1400 is less negative than -0.87). The short-run effect is downward biased if cities that were inherently growing slower (faster) were also affected by higher (lower) mortality. For the long-run, an upward bias is more problematic as we then overestimate how fast cities recover (the true effect in 1300-1600 is less positive than 0.36). Thus, when comparing the short- and long-run effects, biases would have to work in opposite directions, a statistically unlikely occurrence, for our recovery hypothesis to be invalidated. We discuss these potential biases below and how our identification strategies minimize them.

Correlates of Mortality. In Table 2 we show that mortality rates were uncorrelated with various city characteristics proxying for locational fundamentals (1), increasing returns and sunk investments (2) or institutions (3). The only variables that have explanatory power are proximity to rivers and latitude (col. (1)). Proximity to rivers is negatively correlated with mortality, which is inconsistent with the claim that trade routes were correlated with Plague virulence.²³ Other measures of transportation and trade networks do not have economically or statistically significant effects. The coefficient on latitude reflects the fact that the Black Death hit southern Europe first and was more virulent in the early years of the epidemic. Finally, no effect is significant once all controls are included.²⁴

²³Rivers might have been constraining the mobility of infected rats. However, the body or clothes of travelers crossing the rivers could still carry infected fleas (and then infect local rats).

²⁴The R2 in Col. (1) falls to 0.08 when we exclude latitude and temperature (correlation with

Controls. If larger cities had higher mortality rates due to higher densities propagating the disease, and if larger cities were larger due to the presence of sectors driving future growth, this would be a source of upward bias. Likewise, if being on a trade route was positively correlated with both mortality and a city's growth potential, this would lead to an upward bias. If anything, an upward bias makes us under-estimate the short-term negative effect of the plague, which is inconsequential for our analysis. In row 2 of Table 3, we show results hold when we include all the controls of Table 2 simultaneously. The effect in 1300-1400 is now less negative. Indeed, we will show in Section 6. that city characteristics affected the recovery of higher-mortality cities in 1353-1400 and beyond. Overcontrolling then leads us to under-estimate the negative short-run effects.

Spatial FE. Results hold if we employ 13 modern country fixed effects (FE) (row 3). Modern country borders differ from the political units of the fourteenth century so in row 4 we assign a separate dummy variable to each of the independent polities with at least 5 cities in our dataset.²⁵ Alternatively, we use twelve 5x5 degree mega-cell FE for mega-cells with at least 5 cities (row 5).

Outliers. In row 6, we drop towns with the 5% highest and the 5% lowest mortality rates. The Black Death was attributed to the "vengeance of God" or the "conjunction of certain stars and planets" (Horrox, ed, 1994, 48-49). Thus, there was little variation in a city's ability to deal with it. Historians report that some cities had either natural baths (Bath, Nuremberg) or tried to take action in response to the plague (Milan, Venice). Results hold when we drop these (row 7). **Parallel Trends** Col. (6)-(7) of Table 1 show that prior to 1300, there is no difference in growth between cities most affected and those comparatively unaffected by the Plague. However, standard errors are not nil, so the pre-Black Death effects are imprecisely estimated. As can be seen in the lower sample sizes, many cities also did not exist (i.e. were below 1,000) before 1300. Since col. (6)-

latitude of 0.77). If we re-run the specification in Col. (4) while dropping latitude and temperature, the coefficients of the other controls remain insignificant and the R2 decreases to 0.18. It does not decrease to 0 because some of the remaining variables are still correlated with latitude.

²⁵The sheer number of states (44; source: Nussli (2011)) raises a potential problem as many had only a single major city. Hence we use fixed effects for 7 larger states with at least 5 cities.

(7) examine the intensive margin of city growth, we show in col. (8)-(9) that the likelihood of being above 1,000 by 1200 or 1300 is not correlated with mortality.²⁶ **Panel.** We restrict the sample to the 165 cities, focusing on the years 1100, 1200, 1300, 1400, 1500, 1600, 1700 and 1750. We run a panel regression where the dependent variable is the percentage change in population between t-1 and t(1100 is dropped), where city fixed effects and year fixed effects are included, and where the variables of interest are Black Death mortality in 1347-1352 interacted with the year effects (1750 is the omitted year). We use as weights city population in *t*-1 and cluster standard errors at the city level. Figure 4 shows: (i) The interacted effects ("Panel"); (ii) The corresponding effects when running the cross-sectional regression for each year one by one ("Non-Panel"). For the period 1400-1750, these differ from col. (2)-(5) in Table 1 which showed longdifference effects with 1300 as the start year; and (iii) The panel effects when the dependent variable is the change in log population size between t-1 and t("Panel w/ Log"). The negative effects in 1300-1400 ("1400"; -0.87***, -0.91*** and -0.94***, respectively) are offset by positive effects in 1400-1500 ("1500") and 1500-1600 ("1600"). Results are very similar across the three specifications (coefficients shown in Web Appx. Table A.5), implying city effects do not matter.

Results hold if we control for log population size in *t*-1 (not shown). This is unsurprising as mortality was uncorrelated with population. As population is a "bad" control due to dynamic panel bias (Nickell, 1981), we ignore these results.

Given how insensitive our results are to the inclusion of city effects, we use long-difference regressions for the rest of the analysis. Furthermore, the IV strategies developed below do not suit a panel framework. Indeed, the instruments would need to be interacted with the year fixed effects, thus creating multiple first-stage regressions and generating multiple weak instruments.²⁷ **Correlated Shocks.** The plague reoccurred following the Black Death. This

²⁶Likewise, city population in 1200 and 1300 is not correlated with mortality among cities that passed the 1,000 threshold in 1100-1200 and 1200-1300, respectively (not shown).

²⁷For example, when the endogenous variable is mortality*1400, only instrument*1400 should be relevant and instrument*1200, instrument*1300, etc. should be mechanically less relevant.

could be a source of bias if subsequent outbreaks were correlated with the initial pandemic. In row 8 of Table 3 we use data from Biraben (1975) and show results hold if we include a dummy for plague recurrence and the number of recurrences within 50 km in the period of interest.²⁸ The Plague initially reduced the intensity conflict (see Sumpton (1999)). However, warfare ultimately intensified and led to urbanization (Voigtländer and Voth, 2013a). Row 9 shows results hold if we include a dummy for battle occurrence and the number of battles in the period of interest. Results hold if we control for the number of famines experienced by the city's region or country in 1300-1400 or 1300-1600 (row 10). Jedwab et al. (2019) show that higher-mortality cities persecuted Jewish communities less and that Jews were relatively skilled. Results nonetheless hold if we add dummies for whether the city had a Jewish community, a persecution took place, and the persecution took the form of a pogrom during the Black Death (row 11). Row 11 shows results hold if we drop any city with a persecution. Jewish populations were very small relative to the non-Jewish city populations. As such, these pogroms were unlikely to affect human capital in the short to medium terms.

In Table 3, we implement three IV strategies: IV1, IV2 and IV3. IV1 and IV3 rely on the date of first infection in the city, which is available for 124 cities.²⁹ Also, since the IV strategies rely on the spatial diffusion of the Plague, we cluster standard errors at the state (1300) level (N = 64) for these analyses.

IV1. The first IV exploits the fact that there was a lot of randomness in Plague diffusion depending on where infected rats and fleas went. We thus create a variable for date of first infection for a city. Fig. 2(a) plots mortality rates against the *date* that the city was first infected (number of months since October 1347). Cities infected later, indeed, had lower mortality. Using the number of months since October 1347 as an IV, and adding the controls of Table 2 (incl. longitude and latitude) and the squares and cubes of longitude and latitude to exploit the random component of the spread of the Plague, we find coefficients similar to

²⁸Subsequent plagues were not correlated with mortality (Web Appx. Table A.4). Later recurrences also had a different epidemiology to the initial outbreak (Web Appx. Section 4.).

²⁹See Web Appx. Table A.6 for the full first-stage regressions for IV1, IV2 and IV3.

our OLS estimates (row 13 of Table 3; -1.07** and 0.05; IV-F stat = 11.8).

IV2. Proximity to Messina should predict Plague mortality, since the port of entry happened to be Messina (due to mischance) and the Plague was more virulent initially (for pathogenic reasons). We use as an IV the Euclidean distance to Messina, conditional on average Euclidean distance to all cities in Western and Eastern Europe and the MENA (using their 1300 population as weights). Controlling for average distance to all cities captures the fact that some cities were better connected overall. Hence, we exploit the fact that it was the specific connectedness to Messina, and not connectedness overall, that mattered for mortality. In addition, since we use Euclidean distances, our IV is not built using the (then possibly endogenous) speeds of Plague transmission. We add the same controls as for IV1, including the controls for the various possible means of transportation. Controlling for longitude and latitude and their squares and cubes is then particularly important because it will capture any South vs. North and East vs. West effects. Lastly, Messina is dropped from the regressions. We report the IV estimates in row 14. The short-run coefficient (-1.20**) is similar to our OLS estimate (IV F-stat = 22.6). The long-run effect is negative (-0.68), but half the size of the short-run effect and not significant.³⁰

IV3. IV3 uses the variation in mortality generated by differences in the *month* of first infection *within* a single year. For 124 cities for which we have data on the onset of the plague, Fig. 2(b) shows the relationship between mortality and the month of peak infection in the city (= month of onset + 3.5 months). The plague was more virulent when peak mortality occurred during summer (6-8) (the quadratic fit omits January (1), which has abnormally high mortality rates due to October being the month of onset of the plague in Europe). We report the results using our third IV, dummies for the month of peak infection, while

³⁰For this IV, we use cities above 1,000 in Europe and cities above 10,000 in the MENA (estimates not available below). What could matter for trade to influence mortality could be proximity to large cities only, or proximity to many cities. We take an intermediary approach and use as weights log population in 1300, thus giving less weight to the largest cities. Results hold if we: (i) control for average distance to all cities above 10,000 only; and (ii) use as weights unlogged population – giving more weight to the largest cities – or no weights – making a high spatial density of cities more important – when computing the average distance to all cities (not shown).

simultaneously adding the controls used for IV1 and dummies for the year of first infection to control for the fact that cities infected in earlier years had higher mortality. We obtain similar results (row 15; -0.93*** and -0.23; IV-F stat = 6.0).

Lastly, results hold when using the three IVs simultaneously (row 16). The IV-F-statistic is low, however, which is mechanical as one includes more controls. **Summary.** The identification strategies return effects that are not significantly different from our OLS effects, implying that Plague mortality was indeed locally exogenous, consistent with random factors more than offsetting non-random factors. In the rest of the analysis we can thus only employ OLS estimates.

Results are also robust to potential concerns about specification, data measurement, and sampling. Row 1 of Table 4 reports our baseline estimates. **Specification & Standard Errors.** Results hold when: (i) we control for past population trends, i.e. city population growth in 1200-1300 (row 2); (ii) use as the dependent variable the absolute change in population and as the variable of interest the number of deaths (row 3); and (iii) cluster standard errors at the state (1300) level (row 4) or employ Conley (2008) (500 km) standard errors (row 5).

Measurement Concerns. Classical measurement error in mortality should bias the short-run estimates towards zero. In that case, our effect is less negative than the true effect, which is less of an issue than a downward bias. Additionally, if measurement error is classical, the long-term effect should be as biased as the short-term effect, which would not change our results. However, measurement error could be non-classical. Yet, our estimates do not systematically differ when: we (i) include dummies for different sources of mortality data (row 6);³¹(ii) drop estimates based on literary descriptions (row 7); (iii) drop estimates based on desertion rates (row 8); (iv) drop estimates based on clergy mortality (row 9); and (v) only use the raw numerical mortality estimates directly provided by Christakos et al. (2005) (row 10), thus omitting the 25 description-based mortality estimates. Among these, "high" (assigned 50%) appears 15 times, "spared" (5%) 3 times, and the other seven descriptions only once. We verify that results hold

³¹These are: population records, literary descriptions, desertion rates, and clergy mortality.

if we use a different rate for "high" (e.g., 60 or 40%) and spared (e.g., 10 or 0%), or drop each description one by one (not shown). Finally, in row 11 we focus on cities that are either in the bottom 10% of least affected cities or in the top 10% of most affected cities, since measurement errors in mortality rates are more likely when comparing cities with relatively similar estimated rates.

Classical measurement error in the dependent variable should increase standard errors. However, our results remain precise. We also employ alternative population estimates. Row 12 reports estimates using the uncorrected Bairoch data. Row 13 reports results using only observations from Chandler (1974, 1987). External Validity. There could be concerns about sample size. We employ as regression weights populations in 1300 ensuring less weight is placed on small towns. Our 165 cities capture 60% of the total population of the 466 existing cities in 1300 (Web Appx. Fig. A.5 shows a map), so having more cities should not change the results. We also use several methods to obtain more mortality estimates. Results hold if we use: (i) the mortality of the closest city with data if this city is within 50 km (row 14, N = 290-286); (ii) the mean mortality of the cities in the same state (row 15, 380-274, SEs clustered at the state level); and (iii) spatially extrapolated mortality rates (row 16, 464-457).³² Lastly, we study for all 466 cities in 1300 the reduced-form effect of the inverse of log distance to Messina, conditional on average log distance to all cities and the controls used for IV3. The short-term effect remains negative and significant (not shown).

Our sample is biased towards large cities. In row 17 we reweight observations to match the distribution of city populations in 1300.³³ Initial population size is not the only characteristic in which our sample is selected. For the 466 cities, we regress a dummy equal to one if mortality data is available on the controls of Table 2. We find significant differences for temperature, monarchy, capital cities, and representative cities. If we reweight observations to match the distribution

³²We create a two-dimensional surface of predicted mortality using an inverse distance weighted function of known mortality rates for 274 localities (details in Web Appx. Section 1.).

³³We divide the cities into bins of 1,000 inhabitants and estimate the share of each bin in our sample and in the full sample. We then correct our weights so that our distribution does not significantly differ from the full distribution, which we confirm using Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests.

of each variable, results hold (not shown). Finally, we drop cities located within France, Germany, Italy, the United Kingdom or Spain (Web Appx. Table A.7).

4. The Black Death as a Regional Shock

So far, we only studied the effects of own city mortality on city growth. However, we need to quantify spillover and general equilibrium effects in order to test the recovery hypothesis for urban systems, not just individual cities. These effects are interesting in their own right because the Black Death was a massive trade shock, in that it reduced market potential by killing people in many locations.

We find that urban systems relatively recovered to their pre-Plague population levels. This echoes the work of Voigtländer and Voth (2013b), whose Figure 10 uses aggregate data from 23 countries to show that those areas that experienced a bigger population decline in 1300-1400 urbanized faster. We improve upon their mostly graphical analysis by using data on city-level mortality and growth, examining both spillover and general equilibrium effects, and distinguishing the extensive and intensive margins of city growth. To our knowledge, the results that we now discuss have never been econometrically established before.

Cities could be affected by mortality in nearby towns. Table 5 studies the effects of a city's own mortality and the population-weighted average mortality of neighboring towns, defined in four ways:³⁴ (i) of the same state (1300); (ii) of the same country (2020); (iii) within the bottom 10% of distance to the city; and (iv) or using all 1,801 cities but relying on the change in market potential between 1300 and 1353.³⁵ Cities that experienced a large direct shock did not always experience a large indirect shock (correlation of 0.43-0.51). The indirect effect is always negative, but not significant. The combined direct and indirect effects are about -1.00 to -1.25, and significant. By contrast, the long-run direct and

24

³⁴We include all 1,801 Western European towns. We give 500 inhabitants to the towns with less than 1,000 in 1300. Note that we do not include cities in Eastern European or MENA countries for this exercise because we do not have local mortality estimates available in these.

³⁵To construct market potential in 1353, we use the predicted population of the other towns in 1353 (= $pop_{1300} \times (100\text{-mort.})/100$). Since mortality is only available for 274 cities, we use spatially extrapolated mortality rates for 1,527 cities. For each of the 165 observations, the mortality rates of the other towns are constructed excluding the mortality rate of the observation itself.

spillover effects are not significantly different from 0 (coefficients appear large but the beta coefficients are very small, at -0.02/0.02). Lastly, we acknowledge that our market potential shock measure may capture other mechanisms than trade itself. Unfortunately, bilateral trade data does not exist for the period.

Next, there may also have been effects at the state level. In col. (1)-(5) of Table 6, we estimate the effects of population-weighted average mortality at the state/country level on the percentage change in urban population at the state/country level.³⁶ Col. (1) of Panel A replicates our baseline short-run effect at the city level (-0.87***). Col. (2) and (4) show the effects at the state and country levels, for cities that existed in 1300. The effect is now more negative (ca. -1.15). Note that we cannot directly compare our results with the Figure 10 of Voigtländer and Voth (2013b) because their left and right hand side variables are different. For example, they do not use data on Black Death mortality.

In col. (3) and (5), we examine the state- and country-level effects on all cities that are in the dataset in 1400 (incl. cities not in the dataset in 1300). The effects are larger than before, at -1.27 to -1.47 implying that in high-mortality areas, fewer new cities emerged. We verify this in col. (6)-(7) using 1,335 cities in the Bairoch data set that did not exist in 1300. These cities can be thought of as the universe of potential city locations. We test whether their emergence in 1400 — via a simple dummy — is related to the Black Death. Cities were less likely to emerge when their extrapolated mortality rate was high (col. 6). Likewise, we regress the log population of these 1,335 cities (using 500 for cities below 1,000) on mortality and find that fewer locations became urbanized in high-mortality areas (col. 7). Consistent with previous results, we find however that these negative effects of the Black Death disappeared by 1600 (Panel B).³⁷

Thus, the Black Death did not delay the transition of villages into towns. Small

 $^{^{36}}$ As before, we include all 1,801 towns, and use spatially extrapolated mortality rates for towns without mortality data and population = 500 inhabitants for towns with population below 1,000. We lose 20 states and 1 country (Luxembourg) without any urban population in 1300.

 $^{^{37}}$ Some coefficients are still negative, e.g. for the analysis at the state/country level (col. (2)-(5)). However, the effects are small once standardized, with beta coefficients equal to -0.05/-0.11 for states (vs. -0.35/-0.40 in 1300-1400) and -0.05/-0.06 for countries (vs. -0.23/-0.26 in 1300-1400).

towns exist because they serve the needs of villages. As such, their "recovery" disproportionately relies on fixed geographical factors that are particularly important in the rural sector, whose own recovery effects we now discuss.

5. The Countryside and Mechanisms of City Recovery

5.1. Effects on the Countryside

The Countryside. Urban areas differ from rural areas in that their production process is disproportionately less dependent on fixed geographical factors, in particular land. In the Malthusian model, any decline in labor-to-land ratios temporarily increases agricultural incomes, until population increases, mostly as a result of higher net fertility (Galor, 2005, 2011). As the demand for urban products increases, the urban sector expands, due to rural-to-urban migration. In their calibrated model, Voigtländer and Voth (2013b) assumes that "migration into cities was unconstrained [after the Black Death] because many city dwellers had died" and that "city population can reach its pre-plague level immediately." In their appendix, they also show simulation results assuming a slower transition. More generally, in the macroeconomic and historical literatures, the urban sector recovers because rural areas recover. However, there is, to our knowledge, little spatial econometric evidence on the latter, which is what focus on now.

Land use data provides a proxy for rural population. Indeed, the Plague led to reforestation as the need for land and wood declined and marginal soils were abandoned (Campbell, 2016, 363) (Web Appx. Sections 9.-10.). Kaplan et al. (2009) recreate data on land use from 1100 to 1850 at the 5 by 5 minute (10 x 10 km) cell level by combining information on country population, historical forest cover maps, and soil suitability. Using these data, we obtain the land use share of the in-sample countries, i.e. the share of the land used for crops vs. naturally forested (forests were not managed then). The share was two thirds by 1300 and decreased by 15 percentage points by 1400. Land use recovered by 1800.³⁸

We obtain the mean land share within a 10 km radius of each of the 165 cities

26

³⁸Total population data comes from McEvedy and Jones (1978) who rely on fiscal records and ecclesiastical registers at the state level. It is thus not constructed using city populations.

and examine how land use varied.³⁹ Web Appx. Table A.8 shows that mortality led to reforestation in 1300-1400, which remained significant until 1500. No effect is found after 1600.⁴⁰ Overall, cities thus recovered their populations by 1500 and their rural areas recovered theirs by 1600 (Fig. 4(b)). This is consistent with the mechanism emphasized by Voigtländer and Voth (2013b): higher incomes led to cities recovering faster than the countryside. One can also envision different mechanisms: trade-related fixed factors in cities – e.g., a good location on the coast – means that urban wages increase when urban population declines, thus raising the demand for food and driving rural recovery *locally*.

Next, recovery in high-mortality areas must have been driven by either differentially increasing fertility and decreasing mortality in high-mortality areas or migration from low- to high-mortality areas. Since rural areas close to cities also recovered, migrants must have come *on net* from rural areas farther away. Thus, marginal rural areas suffered relatively greater population losses following the Black Death. Now, was the relative decline of these marginal rural areas correlated with their own mortality rate? If fixed factors increase demand for labor in high-mortality areas, it could well be that marginal areas are more depopulated in *low-mortality*, not *high-mortality*, areas, which we test now.

Deserted Medieval Villages (DMVs). Historians document how the Plague led to the desertion of villages (Beresford, 1954; Braudel, ed, 1965). Peasants left their villages to seek newly available economic opportunities in high-mortality cities. This immigration "topped up otherwise diminishing urban communities" (Platt, 1996, 20) (see Web Appx. Section 11.). Since labor was in short supply and peasants demanded better pay, many landowners switched to sheep rearing, which required less labor than arable farming (Voigtländer and Voth, 2013a).

³⁹Since country populations are one input used in the creation of these data, we verify land use changes are not mechanically correlated with population changes. The correlation between the change in land use within 10 km of a city and the change in the population of that city is 0.14 in 1300-1400 and -0.03 in 1300-1600. The correlations with the change of that city's country population are higher, but still low, at -0.30 and 0.44 respectively. Indeed, changes in the forest maps also cause local land use changes. Lastly, results hold whether we control (Panel A) or not (Panel B) for the contemporaneous changes in both city population and country population.

⁴⁰We test for parallel trends and results hold if we cluster standard errors at the country level.

Data on the location of DMVs exist for all 41 English counties during the medieval era (Fenwick and Turner, 2015). For 28 of these, we know from Shrewsbury (1970) and Scott and Duncan (2001) clergy mortality, which we use as a proxy for overall mortality. This allows us to study how the number of DMVs varied with mortality, *depending* on their proximity to cities. Note that Voigtländer and Voth (2013a) use the same data to show that areas with more DMVs specialized in pastoral farming, a different question to ours.

Since this sample differs from the sample of 165 cities, we verify in col. (1)-(3) of Table 7 that mortality had a negative effect in the short run and no effect in the long run. For the same 28 counties, we obtained population in 1086, 1290, 1377, 1756 and 1801 (data unavailable ca. 1600). For the period 1290-1377, we find a negative effect, at -0.64^{**} (col. (1)). For the period 1290-1756, we find an insignificant effect, at -0.96 (col. (2)), but the effect is smaller than the short-run effect once standardized (beta coef. of -0.10 vs. -0.35 in 1290-1377). When using 1801 (first census), the standardized effect is small (-0.08, not shown). We check parallel trends and find no effect in 1086-1290 (0.05, beta coef. of 0.00, col. (3)). This result implies local exogeneity of the Plague for total population levels, which were then mostly explained by rural population levels given England's low urbanization rate. We also discussed in Section 2. why the epidemiological characteristics of the Black Death – e.g., the fact it was Bubonic plague and black rats mattered – meant that rural mortality did not differ from urban mortality.⁴¹

In col. (4)-(6)the dependent variable is the log number of DMVs per 1000 sq. km. We control for the county's log area and log population in 1290 since the density of DMVs depends on pre-Plague human density.⁴² We find a negative effect of mortality, at -0.46*** (col. (4), beta coef. of -0.51).⁴³ Low-mortality areas had *more* DMVs than high-mortality areas. Therefore, people disproportionately

⁴¹We weight observations by their population in 1290 and exclude Cornwall whose population in 1290 is underestimated due to the lack of data on their large mining population (see Broadberry et al. (2010, 14)). Middlesex is not included in the analysis, due to the lack of data on its mortality

⁴²We also weight observations by their populations in 1290.

⁴³We include Cornwall, since we only use populations as weights and as controls. Removing Cornwall or adding London or extra counties does not affect the results (not shown).

left the relatively Plague-free rural areas. Web Appx. Table A.8 showed that rural areas in the vicinity of cities—within 10 km—were not affected by the Black Death in the long-run. By 1600 they were completely repopulated. We should thus expect relatively more DMVs in low-mortality areas *beyond* 10 km from cities. For 39 counties, we obtain from Fenwick and Turner (2015) the location of each DMV in England and compute the minimal distance to a 1300 city. For each of the 28 counties, we construct the number of DMVs (per 1000 sq km) both within and beyond 10 km from a city. We then verify in col. (5)-(6) that the loss of villages is driven by areas farther away from cities.⁴⁴ In col. (7), we regress the absolute change in the urban share (%) on mortality and find a small and insignificant negative effect. DMVs were small. Thus, the loss of villages in low-mortality areas may have not been large enough to affect urbanization patterns across counties. This also suggests that the repopulation of high-mortality areas.

5.2. Urban Wages and Migration

Wages. Data on real wages does not exist for enough cities during our period of study. For example, welfare ratios as collected by economic historians are only available for a very few cities. Instead, Web Appx. Section 6. provides qualitative evidence on wage patterns after the Black Death. Overall, the historical literature has consistently found that in cities where mortality was high living standards on average significantly improved for both skilled and unskilled workers.

Natural Increase. The relative recovery of high-mortality areas could have been due to higher real wages there raising fertility and lowering mortality relative to low-mortality areas. While the population recovery of Europe's total population by 1600 was only possible due to natural increase, it is less clear whether natural increase was responsible for local recovery. The literature on the European Marriage Pattern (EMP) — a higher age of first marriage and high rates of female celibacy — shows how the Black Death actually reduced fertility (Voigtländer and

⁴⁴Our analysis focuses on 28 counties because mortality is only available for 28 counties. We verify for the 41 counties that the density of DMVs is uncorrelated with a dummy for whether mortality is available. Results hold if we impute mortality from other sources (not shown).

Voth, 2013a). Thus, natural increase likely played a minor role in *local* recovery. Migration must have been the source (Web Appx. Section 7.).

Migration. The rate of urban recovery we observe can only have occurred via migration. First, various cities had already recovered before 1400. Barcelona (mortality of 36%), Florence (60%), Lübeck (30%) and Venice (60%) recovered their pre-Plague population levels in just 5, 30, 10 and 25 years respectively. Their rate of natural increase would have needed to be above 30 (per 1,000) for natural increase to explain recovery. These rates were unheard of until the 20th century, particularly in preindustrial cities where such rates were typically negative (Woods, 2003; Voigtländer and Voth, 2013b; Jedwab and Vollrath, 2019). Second, historians speculate that "the first few years after the epidemic witnessed especially high migration rates" (Poos, 1991, 108). Penn and Dyer (1990, 363) note that late medieval wage earners had a great "capacity for geographical mobility" evident "from the indirect testimony of locative surnames which reflect migration into towns, and the patterns of immigration and emigration". Likewise, the number of freeman admitted into York increased by 365% in the year of the Plague (Dobson, 1973, 17). London saw a "great concourse of aliens and denizens to the city and suburbs, now that the pestilence is stayed" (Sloane, 2011).⁴⁵

Fertility. For a same mortality shock, we should expect fertility to be lower in a Northern Europe region or a region characterized by the EMP (Dennison and Ogilvie, 2014; Voigtländer and Voth, 2013a). We thus test if the speed at which high-mortality cities relatively recover depends on where the cities were located. We classify our cities into North vs. South or Strong EMP vs. Weak EMP (based on historical data from Dennison and Ogilvie (2014) on the average age at first marriage and the female celibacy rate (%) at the country or regional level).

For the 165 cities, we use the same specification as before but interact mortality with a North dummy (col. (2)-(3)) or a Strong EMP dummy (col. (4)-(7)) and test if the interacted effect is negative. Indeed, if natural increase was important for local recovery, we should expect high-mortality cities in North

⁴⁵Migration was caused by both an improved bargaining position of peasants *and* an increase in labor coercion in some areas forcing peasants to escape these (Web Appx. Section 8.).

or Strong EMP regions to recover relatively slower than high-mortality cities in South or Weak EMP regions, because North or Strong EMP cities were more likely to recover solely through migration whereas South or Weak-EMP cities were more likely to experience both migration *and* natural increase.

However, three important caveats with the EMP analysis ((4)-(7)) is that the EMP measures from Dennison and Ogilvie (2014) are estimated for the post-Black Death period (1500-1900). In addition, while the EMP may have already existed before the Black Death (Laslett and Wall, 1972), Voigtländer and Voth (2013a) showed how the EMP was affected by the Black Death. Lastly, the EMP had a particular impact in rural areas (Voigtländer and Voth, 2013a) and it is unclear how much it could also capture urban fertility differentials. As such, the results focusing on the simple North/South distinction ((2)-(3)) are more reliable.

Web Appx. Table A.9 shows the interacted effects in 1300-1600. The North dummy is based on 9 Northern European countries or the same 9 countries except France. The endogenous Strong EMP dummy is equal to one for cities in countries/regions with an age at first marriage or a female celibacy rate above the mean or median in the sample. The interacted effects show that Northern cities did not recover relatively slower, since the coefficients are positive, *not* negative, thus suggesting that migration was likely the main driver behind recovery. We then find overall similar results for the EMP dummy. However, given the data limitations, we acknowledge that our econometric evidence is limited.

6. Heterogeneity in City Recovery and Fixed Factors

While, in a Malthusian economy, a pandemic might have no long-term spatial effects *on average*, in the sense that high-mortality cities fully recover relative to low-mortality cities, there could be still *permutations* between cities, as some large cities become relatively smaller, and small cities, relatively larger. These permutations may in turn be affected by mortality and the characteristics of these cities interact, which we now investigate. Now, if permutations are explained by the fact that some cities have "better" characteristics than others, then a pandemic might lead to a beneficial spatial reallocation of population.

Permutations. Historical evidence suggests there was heterogeneity across cities in the response to the Black Death.⁴⁶ For our sample of 165 cities, we regress the rank of each city in 1600 on its rank in 1300 and find a slope of 0.86***. Therefore, large cities tended to remain large cities and small cities tended to remain small cities after the Black Death. However, aggregate urban recovery hides *permutations* in that the R2 of the regression is 0.56. Figure 5(a) illustrates this, with many cities far from the regression line. After World War II, Hiroshima, Nagasaki and other Japanese cities regained almost their exact pre-war rank. This would imply a slope coefficient close to 1.00 but an R2 also closer to 1.00. To our knowledge, no study has econometrically identified such permutations.

Determinants. We now test whether these permutations were associated with some of the city characteristics considered in Table 2. We modify Eq. 1 by interacting mortality (Mort_{*i*,1347–52}) with selected city characteristics (Char_{*i*}) while controlling for the city characteristics themselves and mortality:

$$\% \Delta \text{Pop}_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta_t \text{Mort}_{i,1347-52} + \text{Mort}_{i,1347-52} * \text{Char}_i \theta + \text{Char}_i \xi + \epsilon_{i,t}$$
(2)

Throughout, we focus on our main sample of 165 cities, for the period 1300-2015. For two cities experiencing the *same* mortality shock (e.g., 50%), the vector θ captures the differential recovery/growth effects of each characteristic.

Interpretation of θ **.** As explained in the introduction, if labor becomes scarce in both cities but one city has "fixed" factors complementary to labor, net wages should disproportionately increase there, attracting people. The city's population then partially or fully recovers relative to lower-mortality cities (the other city does not). With high migration costs (including information costs related to economic opportunities elsewhere), relative recovery will be slow enough that the negative effect of mortality may not be fully offset by 1400 or even 1500. In the longer run, locations recovering faster thanks to advantageous characteristics may also gain a long-run productivity advantage and relative *recovery* may then give way to relative *growth acceleration*. In this first scenario,

⁴⁶Campbell (2016, 365) notes that "towns competed with each other in an urban survival of the fittest." See Web Appx. Section 12. for a lengthier discussion of the permutations in the data.

 θ will be significant right away and its magnitude might increase in later periods.

Another case is when one highly impacted city has a fixed factor that is not valuable yet (e.g., given the state of technologies). Initially, the city will not recover faster than another equally impacted city. These cities will also not recover relative to less impacted cities. Given local increasing returns, their relative *decline* may be due to the large negative population shock they experience causing a negative feedback cycle in which wages and population continue to decline and eventually remain low. Thus, they are durably impacted for some time. However, once the factor becomes valuable (which may occur a few centuries later), this helps the city escape the low-population equilibrium in which the shock put it. If only large enough shocks can trap cities into a lowpopulation equilibrium, recovery/growth effects only occur in high-mortality cities *after* their factors become valuable. In this second scenario, θ should be small for some time before increasing in magnitude and turning significant.

Factor Selection. With 165 cities, we cannot add all 27 variables of Table 2 and their interactions with mortality. We arbitrarily select those that proxy for: (i) *rural fixed factors*: the three agricultural suitability measures (cereal, potato, pastoral); and (ii) *urban fixed factors*: coastal and river dummies, Roman road or medieval land route intersections, and the Hanseatic League dummy.⁴⁷ While the coast and rivers are by construction "fixed", they lowered transportation costs. Hence, coastal and riverine cities were more likely to develop a trading sector. Roads and the Hanseatic league can be treated as fixed factors, since they represent past sunk investments in transportation and trade networks. Roman roads remained the basis of the road network in the medieval era (Dalgaard et al., 2018b). Medieval trade routes reflected long-established trading linkages. The origins of the Hanseatic league go back to its establishment in Lübeck in 1159 and it rose to prominence in the century before the plague (Dollinger, 1970, xviii).⁴⁸

⁴⁷We classify fixed factors as "rural" or "urban" depending on their relative importance in the production function of the rural areas surrounding cities or of the city itself.

⁴⁸Our source for this data is Dollinger (1970) and is the most comprehensive list of Hanseatic cities available. Unfortunately, Dollinger does not provide details on when each city became a member of the Hanseatic league. However, Wikipedia provides information on a subset of

Lastly, we include factors proxying for agglomeration effects and institutions: (i) The log of the estimated population of the city in 1353 (= $pop._{1300} \times (100-mort.)/100$), since larger cities in the aftermath of the plague may have recovered faster due to agglomeration effects. Given a specific shock (e.g., 50%), there could be non-linearities in the "aftermath" size needed to recover; and (ii) Three dummies for whether the city was part of a monarchy, was a state capital, and whether it had a representative body (c. 1300), our best institutional measures.

Below, we also discuss the effects when considering other variables. Note that Lasso regressions cannot be implemented because existing Lasso programs do not allow for regression weights (we use as weights city population in 1300). More generally, Lasso regressions only helps with making a model sparser in case there is muticollinearity. However, the 11 selected factors are little correlated with each other (mean correlation for the 11 x 10 \div 2 = 55 combinations \approx 0.15).⁴⁹ Lasso regressions also cannot tell us which other factors from Table 2 should be added. Identification. Table 8 shows the 11 interacted effects, for 1300-1750 (col. (1)-(5)) and 1300-2015 (col. (6)). The 11 interacted effects being simultaneously included, they show the recovery effect associated with each factor conditional on the recovery effect associated with each other factor. With 165 observations and 23 variables, this makes our test particularly stringent. Note that we show the interacted effects for the period 1300-1400 because cities started recovering in 1353-1400. We then use 1300 as the start year instead of 1353 because we do not know the true population of each city in 1353. Finally, we predict that the recovery effects of the fixed factors increase with their economic value, which may exogenously change over time, for example with new technologies. Since the factors we consider are likely to affect nominal wages much more than housing and non-housing prices and amenities, especially as pre-modern construction

Hanseatic cities. Using this data, we estimate that approximately 75% of these cities were likely members of the league prior to the time of the Black Death, thus giving us confidence that our Hansa dummy mostly captures pre-plague conditions (data available on request).

⁴⁹The only coefficients of correlation above 0.5 (in absolute value) are for cereal suitability and potato suitability and for log city population in 1353 and the state capital dummy. Point estimates remain similar if we only keep one factor or the other (not shown, but available upon request).

technologies were not particularly advanced or distinct across European cities, we interpret these recovery effects as reflecting nominal wage changes.⁵⁰

We do not use panel or IV regressions for these regressions. We showed they returned results that were not different from the OLS, implying exogeneity of mortality. We thus rely on OLS, given its simplicity and transparency.⁵¹ For the sake of conciseness, and since what only matters are the interactions with mortality, we also do not report the independent effect of each factor.

Rural Fixed Factors. The coefficient of mortality*cereal suitability is positive (but not significant) after 1400 (col. (2)). However, the effect is meaningful since the beta coefficient (henceforth, "beta") reached 0.47 by 1600 and remained high after (0.17 in 2015). Potato suitability may have also helped some highly impacted cities escape their post-plague low-population equilibrium from the 17th century onwards (col. (4)). Nunn and Qian (2011) show that countries that were relatively more suitable for potato cultivation urbanized faster after potato cultivation diffused in Europe (the non-effects in col. (1)-(3) are reassuring).⁵²

Next, in high-mortality areas suitable for pastoral farming we find a negative effect in 1500-1600 (col. (3)) and no effects before (col. (1)-(2)). The effect in 1500-1600 is strong (beta = -0.64) and becomes weaker over time (beta = -0.25 in 2015). We believe this is caused by higher wages due to labor shortages that created incentives for landlords to specialize in pastoral agriculture, thus further reducing the need for labor (Voigtländer and Voth, 2013a, p. 2255). As seen, the effect only became significant in the 16th century. Indeed, pastoral farming as a solution to labor scarcity and rising wages did not arise right away and everywhere (Jedwab et al., 2021). This effect then diminishes after 1750.⁵³

⁵³This may reflect the rise of labor-intensive proto-industry in rural areas, in particular textile

⁵⁰The Bairoch data set stops in 1850. Cities have also grown dramatically since 1850, becoming multi-city agglomerations. We read the webpage of each city in Wikipedia and selected the 2015 population of the city itself rather than the population of the agglomeration. Results, however, hold if we use the agglomeration estimate or the mean of the two estimates (not shown).

⁵¹We have 23 variables and 165 cities. Adding interactions of each factor with instruments would leave us with no variation, and mechanically creates multiple weak instruments.

 $^{^{52}}$ The country-level effects of Nunn and Qian (2011) appear in 1750, whereas our interacted effects appear in 1700 because we focus on the local level. Indeed, the local cultivation of the potato started in the late 16th century and became widespread in the late 17th century (Nunn and Qian, 2011, p.601-603). Our effect is still large, and significant, in 2015 (beta = 1.06).

Urban Fixed Factors. The interacted effect for coastal proximity is one of the only two significant coefficients in 1300-1400 (col. (1)), along with the interacted effect for the Hanseatic league. While the coefficient of mortality is -3.9, the coefficient of mortality*coastal proximity is 1.2. Thus, coastal cities had already relatively recovered by almost one third by 1400. In 1500, the interacted effect was strong enough that coastal high-mortality cities had on average fully recovered relative to low-mortality cities. Stark examples include Barcelona (mortality of 36%; full recovery by 1355) and Venice (60%; 1375) for coastal cities and Lübeck (30%; 1360) as an example of a major Hansa town. The coastal effect then remained strong for most of the pre-industrial era (beta = 0.99 in 1500, slowly decreasing to 0.33 in 2015). By 2015, it is not significant, consistent with coastal proximity having become less of an advantage given advances in transportation technologies (e.g., railroads and roads) (Henderson et al., 2017b) and port concentration, for example due to containerization (Brooks et al., 2018).

The Hanseatic League had its origins in the 12th century. According to Dollinger (1970, viii), by the mid-13th century, Hansa merchants had a near monopoly of trade between Germany and England. By 1300 it comprised a network of cities across the North Sea, capable of boycotting trade with states that violated its rights (such as Norway between 1284-1285). Hansa members negotiated lower taxes on trade for their merchants and provided them with protection from expropriation. As they developed, they were also able to invest in infrastructure (e.g. warehouses) and armed guards for their merchants.

Our results suggest that following the Black Death cities that were part of this trading network were well positioned to recover and this is consistent with qualitative evidence provided by historians which suggests that the period from the late 14th century to the mid-15th century was the zenith of the league. Specifically, the interacted Hansa effect is particularly strong (beta = 0.72) in 1300-1400. A coefficient of 2.9 compared to -3.9 for mortality implies that a Hansa town had already relatively recovered three fourths (2.9/3.9 = 0.74) of their

production, which was associated with more rapid population growth (Mendels, 1972; Pfister, 1989). Wool was indeed the most common textile used in making clothing.

population by 1400.⁵⁴ The interacted Hansa effect then remained significant until 1700 (col. (4)), by which time the league was in decline (Dollinger, 1970).

Rivers have positive and significant effects from the 17th century onwards (col. (4)), indicating that an increasing value of rivers might have eventually helped some highly impacted cities escape their post-plague low-population equilibrium. River transportation was important throughout the medieval period (Masschaele, 1993). But we find the interacted effects of mortality and rivers to be much stronger after 1600. The effect in 1700 is fairly strong (beta = 0.40) and remained so up to the present day. This might reflect greater investment in riverine technologies and canals as documented for England by Bogart (2011). Similar improvements in riverine transport also occurred in 17th-18th century France and elsewhere in Europe (albeit on a small scale).⁵⁵

Next, being at the intersection of two roads/trade routes has a positive, significant, and economically large effect in 1500 (col. (2), beta = 0.51). The effect weakens in later years, as alternative rail and road networks expanded.⁵⁶

In rows 1-3 of Table 9, we examine whether specific coastal and riverine cities recovered/grew faster. In our main analysis we consider cities within 10 km of the coast. However, cities located *directly* on the coast may have recovered faster than those several kilometers inland. A 10 km band also does not include some estuarine cities with a free connection to the open sea (e.g., Bordeaux). We replace the coast dummy and its interaction with mortality by three dummies for being located directly on the coast, for being within 50 km from the coast and its estuaries, and for being within 50 km of the coast and on a river, and their respective interactions with mortality (the river dummy is adjusted accordingly to exclude such cities). As seen in row 1, the interacted effects are stronger for truly coastal or estuarine locations, which we call the "best" coastal locations.

 $^{^{54}}$ For a coastal Hansa town, the effect is 1.2 + 2.9 = 4.1, as much as the effect of mortality (-3.9).

⁵⁵In France, Colbert passed laws ensuring that all rivers had to be traversable by private towpath companies. Note that investment in canals often raised the value of being on a river as canals were often dug to connect two previously separate riverine systems (see Geiger (1994) for details).

⁵⁶The effects of road intersections are stronger for Roman roads than for medieval roads (not shown). Roman roads were often stone-paved, and thus durable (Dalgaard et al., 2018b). We then find insignificant negative or nil interacted effects for elevation and ruggedness (not shown).

Row 2 shows the results when we instead replace the coast dummy and its interaction with mortality with two dummies for being one of the best Mediterranean coastal locations and for being one of the best Atlantic locations. We find strong recovery effects for the Mediterranean. Indeed, Mediterranean trade was particularly important around the time of the Black Death.⁵⁷ consistent with Acemoglu et al. (2005) who show that the Atlantic trade led to Atlantic ports over-taking Mediterranean ports starting around 1600. However, in their analysis, identification comes from comparing the growth of Atlantic and non-Atlantic cities over time, whereas in our case it comes from the triple interaction between mortality, the Atlantic coast dummy, *and* the timing of the boom in Atlantic trade. As such, it is reassuring that we find no recovery effect before 1700.

Lastly, in row 3, we interact the best coastal location dummy with dummies for whether the city belongs to a monarchy or not and add the interactions with mortality. The river dummy now includes only riverine cities that are not on the best coastal locations. We also create interactions with mortality and the monarchy dummy. We find that the best coastal and riverine cities recovered faster when they were part of an unified state, possibly because they served a larger market since within-state tariffs are lower than between-state tariffs.

Overall, we observe strong interacted effects for the best coastal locations of rows 1 (truly coastal), 2 (Mediterranean coast) and 3 (monarchy). In particular, coefficients of 2.8-3.8 imply that these cities had already (relatively) recovered by 1400 between 72 and 97% of their lost population due to the Black Death.

Agglomeration Economies. The literature suggests that a larger population should increase productivity and wages, which may cause in-migration. The literature (e.g., Duranton and Puga, 2004) distinguishes economies of scale – in production, market places, and consumption – and agglomeration economies strictly defined, which include: (i) *sharing* the gains from access to varied inputs, a deepening of the division of labor, and risk pooling across sectors; (ii) *matching*,

⁵⁷We observe significant effects for Atlantic cities starting in the 17th century. Thus, an increasing value of the Atlantic coast might have eventually helped some highly impacted cities escape their post-plague low-population equilibrium. These results are

between firms and suppliers and between employers and employees; and (iii) *learning*, i.e. the creation or diffusion of knowledge. Table 8 shows no significant interacted effect for cities with a larger residual population in 1353.

In the right panel of Table 9, we further examine the role of agglomeration economies in urban recovery following the Black Death. Using equation 2 but adding log population in 1300 and its interaction with mortality, we find no effect (row 4). It is possible that cities that were larger (i.e., more populated) before the Black Death had more durable structures and infrastructure by 1353 and thus recovered faster. A city's "renown" (due to a larger population size historically) may also have mattered in re-attracting residents to the city after the shock. But we find no evidence for either of these effects. We also find no positive, significant recovery effect of estimated market access (row 5) or estimated state population size (row 6) in 1353. Taken together these results suggest that agglomeration economies were not a major factor in the post-Black Death recovery.

Turning to the economies of scale mechanisms, we do not find positive significant effects when adding the following variables and their interaction with mortality: a dummy for guild presence before the Black Death (row 7; source: Ogilvie (2019)), a dummy for the presence of a market fair circa 1300 (row 8), and log walled area circa 1300 (controlling for log city population size circa 1300) (row 9). Guilds reflect whether there was an industry large enough to organize and hence can proxy for economies of scale in production. However, guilds also reflected the political power of craftsmen and artisans (Ogilvie, 2019). The presence of a market fair can proxy for economies of scale in market places. The extent of a city's walls may proxy for economies of scale in production, market places, and consumption. Due to warfare between European states, walled cities offered protections to craftsmen, merchants, and more broadly residents, which Dincecco and Onorato (2017) and others have labeled the "safe harbor" effect. As Weber (1958, p.77) put it, the medieval city was a "fusion of fortress and market."

We also include a dummy if there was any bishopric or archbishopric circa 1300 (row 10) and if there was a university circa 1300 (row 11). Both of these

measures can be thought of as capturing economies of scale in human capital and institutional capacity. We only find a positive significant effect for the presence of an university in 1600. This is unsurprising as many European universities were largely training grounds for the Church and did not teach commercial or engineering skills (Miethke et al., eds, 2000).

Labor Mobility. Serfdom and other forms of labor coercion restricted the ability of peasants to migrate to cities. While serfdom disappeared in Western Europe following the Black Death, it remained in place in other parts of Europe for several centuries. We use information on where serfdom persisted through to the end of the 18th century in order to classify cities as either within or outside the zone of labor coercion (Source: Web Appx. Section 8.). We find for the 16th and 17th centuries negative recovery effects in areas affected by serfdom (row 12). The effect in 1750 is strong (-10.9), being half the effect of mortality itself (about -21). **Country Fixed Effects.** Are the interacted effects causal? In all regressions presented here, we *simultaneously* control for mortality, the individual effects of the 11 characteristics used for the interactions, and the 11 interactions. The effects also "activate" and "deactivate" when expected. In addition, most important effects of Table 8 remain strong and significant when including 13 modern country fixed effects (Web Appx. Table A.10). Identification then comes from comparing cities experiencing the same initial shock, having the same 11 characteristics, and belonging to the same entity. With 165 observations, 23 variables and 13 fixed effects, this makes our test more stringent.

Interpretation. Adding the absolute values of the beta coefficients for the different types of factors shown in Table 8, fixed factors are particularly important in the first centuries after the Black Death and their importance decreases over time (Fig. 6(a)). Adding the beta coefficients also helps taking into account substitution effects possibly due to the interacted effects capturing each other's effect. Other factors such as population and institutions are less important.⁵⁸

⁵⁸However, one caveat is that local institutions likely changed as a result of the Black Death (Brenner, 1976; Acemoglu and Robinson, 2012). This is not the focus of this paper, however, and our test imposes that we study the effects of pre-, not post-, plague institutions. Also note that we

Table 1 showed no long-term effects of the Black Death at the city level. In Table 8, the significant negative effects of mortality once we control for the fixed factors and their interactions with mortality implies that any city without fixed factors would have possibly remained small (the point estimate becomes more negative but the beta coefficient remains similar across years, at -1.5 in 1300-1400 and -1.1 in 1300-2015). In other words, for cities without important fixed factors, a large Black Death shock would have had permanent, negative local effects.

Next, for our 165 cities existing in 1300, we predict which high-mortality cities would have relatively lost out by 1750 or 2015 had they not had fixed factors. First, to use Table 8 to predict the counterfactual population level of each city in 1750 or 2015 absent the recovery effects related to fixed factors, one must account for the fact that predicted percentage population growth in 1300-1750 or 1300-2015 must be left-censored at -100. We thus re-run the regression but with a Tobit model. The effects on the latent variable are almost the same as with the OLS model (not shown). We also verify that the predicted 1750 rank of each city among the 165 cities – based on predicted 1750 population levels calculated using 1300 populations and the predicted percentage change in 1300-1750 – is strongly correlated (0.79) with the actual 1750 rank of each city among the 165 cities. For 2015, the correlation is weaker – at 0.61 – due to factors appearing in the Industrial Era. We then perform the same analysis to predict the 1750 or 2015 rank of each city had the interacted effects of mortality and the fixed factors been set to 0. Comparing the predicted ranks of each city excluding the recovery effects of fixed factors with their predicted ranks when the same effects are included, we identify cities that would have lost a lot had fixed factors not mattered.

As seen in Figure 5(b), examples of such cities in 2015 include some of the largest cities in our sample of 165 cities in 1300: Venice (3rd largest, mortality of about 60%), Florence (5th, 60%), Cordoba (8th, 50%), Naples (9th, 65%), Cologne (10th, 30%), Cordoba (8th, 50%), Pisa (19th, 35%), Toulouse (23st, 50%), Rouen (24th, 45%), and Marseille (28th, 55%) (see Web Appx. Fig. A.6 for 1750).

find similar patterns if we compare the average beta coefficients across the three sets of variables or also add the beta coefficients of the variables in the right panel of Table 9.

These cities have in common to have been hit hard by the plague and either coastal, riverine, on a road intersection and/or part of the Hansa league.⁵⁹ **Implications**. Our results suggest that people disproportionately left (and/or did not move to) marginal rural areas as well as cities with "worse" fixed factors. Thus, the relative population decline of "worse" areas suggests that the growth potential of Europe's distribution of population might have improved in the aggregate. Indeed, if, among the 165 cities existing in 1300, cities with better land or trade potential recovered faster, we should observe over time higher shares of the total population of these 165 cities living in locations with such advantages.

For example, high-mortality cities along a river started recovering/growing significantly faster than other cities from the 17th century. As a result, an increasing share of the total urban population of Europe must have resided along a river over time. Figure 6(b) shows that the unconditional population share of the 165 cities located along a river did increase from 1400-2015. Figure 6(b) also reports the predicted increase in the population share of riverine cities based on their population in 1300 and the estimated contribution of the recovery effect of rivers to predicted city population growth (i.e. the interacted effect of mortality and the river dummy times mortality times the river dummy). As can be seen, the conditional population share along rivers has increased over time, close to the unconditional share. We find similar patterns when we perform the same analysis for the other fixed factors (not shown, but available upon request).

We do not pursue this analysis further as it would require a theory-grounded analysis of what a dynamically optimal distribution of population involves. But we note that the impact of the Black Death on Europe's spatial distribution of population might have been one factor contributing to both the Great Divergence that opened up between Europe and the rest of the world after 1700 and the Little Divergence that took place within Europe itself.

⁵⁹Some cities have become relatively smaller over time, due to other factors. But in the absence of important fixed factors aiding their recovery, they could have been at the bottom of the list of the 165 cities in 1750-2015. Thus, this suggests that, absent the presence of important fixed factors, these cities would not have recovered, and subsequently grown, following the Black Death, for example during the Renaissance.

Conclusion 7.

We asked what effects a high case fatality rate pandemic could have on city growth. The Black Death killed 40% of Europe's population between 1347 and 1352. Using a novel dataset on Plague mortality at the city level, we explored the long-run impacts it had on city growth. On average, cities recovered their pre-Plague populations within two centuries. However, aggregate convergence masked heterogeneity in urban recovery. We showed that both of these facts are consistent with populations returning to high-mortality locations endowed with more fixed factors of production. Land suitability and natural and historical trade networks played a vital role in urban recovery. Our study highlights the role played by pandemics and physical and economic geography in determining the relative size of cities in poorer countries more dependent on fixed factors.

REFERENCES

- Aberth, John, From the Brink of the Apocalypse: Confronting Famine, War, Plague, and Death in the Later Middle Ages, London: Routledge, 2010.
- Acemoglu, Daron and James A. Robinson, Why Nations Fail, New York: Crown Business, 2012.
- __, Leopoldo Fergusson, and Simon Johnson, "Population and Conflict," The Review of Economic Studies, 08 2019, 87 (4), 1565–1604.
- __, Simon Johnson, and James Robinson, "The Rise of Europe: Atlantic Trade, Institutional Change, and Economic Growth," American Economic Review, June 2005, 95 (3), 546-579.
- Alfani, Guido and Marco Bonetti, "A survival analysis of the last great European plagues: The case of Nonantola (Northern Italy) in 1630," Population Studies, 2018, Forthcoming, 1–18.
- Almond, Douglas, "Is the 1918 Influenza Pandemic Over? Long-Term Effects of In Utero Influenza Exposure in the Post-1940 U.S. Population," *Journal of Political Economy*, 2006, *114* (4), 672–712. Andersen, Thomas Barnebeck, Carl-Johan Dalgaard, and Pablo Selaya, "Climate and the Emergence
- of Global Income Differences," Review of Economic Studies, 2016, 83 (4), 1334-1363.
- Ashraf, Quamrul and Oded Galor, "Dynamics and Stagnation in the Malthusian Epoch," *American Economic Review*, August 2011, *101* (5), 2003–41.
- Bairoch, Paul, Cites and Economic Development, from the dawn of history to the present, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1988. translated by Christopher Braider.
- Barro, Robert J, José F Ursúa, and Joanna Weng, Working Paper 26866, National Bureau of Economic
- Research March 2020. Beach, Brian, Joseph P Ferrie, and Martin H Saavedra, "Fetal Shock or Selection? The 1918 Influenza Pandemic and Human Capital Development," Working Paper 24725, National Bureau of Economic Research June 2018.
- Becker, Sascha O., Andreas Ferrara, Eric Melander, and Luigi Pascali, "Wars, Taxation and Representation: Evidence from Five Centuries of German History," CEPR Discussion Papers 15601, C.E.P.R. Discussion Papers December 2020.
- Benedictow, Ole J., The Black Death 1346-1353: The Complete History, Woodbridge: The Boydell Press, 2005.
- , What Disease was Plague? On the Controversy over the Microbiological Identity of Plague Epidemics of the Past, Leiden: Brill, 2010.

Beresford, Maurice, The Lost Villages of England, London: Lutterworth Press, 1954.

Berngruber, Thomas W., R?my Froissart, Marc Choisy, and Sylvain Gandon, "Evolution of Virulence in Emerging Epidemics," PLoS Pathog, 03 2013, 9 (3), 1-8.

- Biraben, Les Hommes et la Peste en France et dans les pays Europeens et Mediterraneens, Paris: Mouton, 1975.
- Bleakley, Hoyt, "Malaria Eradication in the Americas: A Retrospective Analysis of Childhood Exposure," American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, April 2010, 2 (2), 1–45.
- ____ and Jeffrey Lin, "Portage and path dependence," The quarterly journal of economics, 2012, 127 (2), 587–644. and ____, "History and the Sizes of Cities," *American Economic Review*, 2015, 105 (5), 558–63.
- Boccaccio, Giovanni, The Decameron, London: Dodo Press, 2005, 1371. Translated by J.M. Rigg.
- Boerner, Lars and Battista Severgnini, "Epidemic Trade," 2014. LSE Economic History Working Paper No. 212/2014.
- Bogart, Dan, "Did the Glorious Revolution contribute to the transport revolution? Evidence from investment in roads and rivers," The Economic History Review, 2011, 64 (4), 1073–1112.
- Bolt, Jutta and Jan Luiten van Zanden, "The Maddison Project: collaborative research on historical national accounts," Economic History Review, 2014, 67 (3), 627-651.
- Bosker, Maarten and Eltjo Buringh, "City seeds: Geography and the origins of the European city system," Journal of Urban Economics, 2017, 98, 139 – 157. Urbanization in Developing Countries: Past and Present.
- , and Jan Luiten van Zanden, "From Baghdad to London: unravelling urban development in Europe and the Arab world 800-1800," Review of Economics and Statistics, 2013, 95 (4), 1418–1437.
- _, Steven Brakman, Harry Garretsen, Herman De Jong, and Marc Schramm, "Ports, plagues and politics: explaining Italian city growth 1300-1861," European Review of Economic History, April 2008, 12 (1), 97–131.
- Boustan, Leah Platt, Matthew E. Kahn, Paul W. Rhode, and Maria Lucia Yanguas, "The Effect of Natural Disasters on Economic Activity in US Counties: A Century of Data," NBER Working Papers 23410, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc May 2017.
- Bowles, Jeremy, Jonas Hjort, Timothy Melvin, and Eric Werker, "Ebola, jobs and economic activity in Liberia," Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health, 2016, 70 (3), 271–277.
- Braudel, Fernand, ed., Villages Désertés et Histoire Économique XI-XVIII siècle S.E.V.P.E.N. Paris 1965.
- Brenner, Robert, "Agrarian class structure and economic development in pre-industrial Europe," Past and Present, 1976, 70 (1), 30–75.
- Broadberry, Stephen, Bruce M.S. Campbell, and Bas van Leeuwen, "English Medieval Population: Reconciling Time Series and Cross Sectional Evidence," July 2010.
- Brooks, Leah, Nicolas Gendron-Carrier, and Gisela Rua, "The Local Impact of Containerization," Finance and Economics Discussion Series 2018-045, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (U.S.) July 2018.
- Byers, Kaylee A., Michael J. Lee, David M. Patrick, and Chelsea G. Himsworth, "Rats About Town: A Systematic Review of Rat Movement in Urban Ecosystems," Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 7, 13.
- Caicedo, Felipe Valencia and Juan Felipe Riaño, "Collateral Damage: The Legacy of the Secret War in Laos," August 2020.
- Campbell, Bruce M. S., "Benchmarking medieval economic development: England, Wales, Scotland, and Ireland, c.1290," *Economic History Review*, November 2008, 61 (4), 896–945.
- , The Great Transition: Climate, Disease and Society in the Late-Medieval World, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- Chandler, Tertius, Four Thousand Years of Urban Growth, Lewiston, NY: St David University Press, 1974, 1987.
- Christakos, George, Richardo A. Olea, Marc L. Serre, Hwa-Lung Yu, and Lin-Lin Wang, Interdisciplinary Public Health Reasoning and Epidemic Modelling: The Case of Black Death, Berlin: Springer, 2005.
- Clark, Gregory, Farewell to Alms, Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2007.
- __, "Microbes and Markets: Was the Black Death an Economic Revolution?," Journal of Demographic Economics, 2016, Forthcoming.
- Cohn, Samuel K. and Guido Alfani, "Households and Plague in Early Modern Italy," The Journal of Interdisciplinary History, 2007, 38 (2), pp. 177–205.
- Conley, Timothy G., "spatial econometrics," in Steven N. Durlauf and Lawrence E. Blume, eds., *The* New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics, Palgrave Macmillan, 2008.
- Croix, David De La, Frédéric Docquier, Alice Fabre, and Robert Stelter, "The Academic Market And

The Rise Of Universities In Medieval And Early Modern Europe (1000-1800)," LIDAM Discussion Papers IRES 2019019, University Catholique de Louvain, Institut de Recherches Economiques et Sociales (IRES) November 2019.

- Dalgaard, Carl-Johan, Anne Sofie B. Knudsen, and Pablo Selaya, "The bounty of the sea and long-run development," Journal of Economic Growth, September 2020, 25 (3), 259-295.
- , Nicolai Kaarsen, Ola Olsson, and Pablo Selaya, "Roman Roads to Prosperity: Persistence and Non-Persistence of Public Goods Provision," Working Papers in Economics 722, University of Gothenburg, Department of Economics February 2018.
- , and _, "Roman Roads to Prosperity: Persistence and Non-Persistence of Public Goods Provision," Technical Report DP12745, CEPR February 2018.
- Davis, Donald R. and David E. Weinstein, "Bones, Bombs, and Break Points: The Geography of Economic Activity," American Economic Review, December 2002, 92 (5), 1269-1289.
- Davis, Donald R and David E Weinstein, "A search for multiple equilibria in urban industrial structure," Journal of Regional Science, 2008, 48 (1), 29-65.
- de la Croix, David and Pauline Morault, "Winners and Losers from the Protestant Reformation: An Analysis of the Network of European Universities," LIDAM Discussion Papers IRES 2020029, University Catholique de Louvain, Institut de Recherches Economiques et Sociales (IRES) October 2020.
- , Matthias Doepke, and Joel Mokyr, "Clans, Guilds, and Markets: Apprenticeship Institutions and Growth in the Preindustrial Economy," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2018, 133 (1), 1–70.
- Deletant, Dennis, "Genoese, Tatars and Rumanians at the Mouth of the Danube in the Fourteenth Century," *The Slavonic and East European Review*, 1984, 62 (4), 511–530.
 Dennison, Tracy and Sheilagh Ogilvie, "Does the European Marriage Pattern Explain Economic
- Growth?," The Journal of Economic History, 2014, 74 (3), 651–693.
- Depetris-Chauvin, Emilio and David N. Weil, "Malaria and Early African Development: Evidence from the Sickle Cell Trait," Economic Journal, May 2018, 128 (610), 1207-1234.
- DeWitte, Sharon N and James W Wood, "Selectivity of Black Death mortality with respect to preexisting health," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2008, 105 (5), 1436–1441.
- Dincecco, Mark and Massimiliano Gaetano Onorato, "Military Conflict and the Rise of Urban Europe," Journal of Economic Growth, 2017.
- Dittmar, Jeremiah and Ralf Meisenzahl, "State Capacity and Public Goods: Institutional Change, Human Capital, and Growth in Historic Germany," Review of Economic Studies, 2019, Forthcoming.
- Dobson, R.B., "Admissions to the Freedom of the City of York in the Later Middle Ages," The Economic History Review, 1973, 26 (1), 1-22.
- Dollinger, Philippe, The German Hansa, London: MacMillan, 1970. translated and edited by D.S. Ault and S.H. Steinberg.
- Donaldson, Dave, "Railroads of the Raj: Estimating the Impact of Transportation Infrastructure," American Economic Review, 2018, 108 (4-5), 899–934.
- and Daniel Keniston, "Dynamics of a Malthusian Economy: India in the Aftermath of the 1918 Influenza," Unpublished Manuscript, 2016.
- Duranton, Gilles and Diego Puga, "Micro-foundations of urban agglomeration economies," in J. V. Henderson and J. F. Thisse, eds., Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics, Vol. 4 of Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics, Elsevier, 2004, chapter 48, pp. 2063–2117.

Fenwick, Helen and Micahel Turner, "Beresford's Lost Villages," 2015.

Galor, Oded, "From Stagnation to Growth: Unified Growth Theory," in Philippe Aghion and Steven Durlauf, eds., Handbook of Economic Growth, Elsevier, 2005.

, Unified Growth Theory, Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2011.

- Gates, Bill, "Innovation for Pandemics," *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2018, 378 (22), 2057–2060. PMID: 29847763.
- Geiger, Reed, Planning the French Canals: Bureaucracy, Politics, and Enterprise Under the Restoration, Newark, Delaware: University of Delaware Press, 1994.
- Gensini, Gian Franco, Magdi H. Yacoub, and Andrea A. Conti, "The concept of quarantine in history: from plague to SARS," Journal of Infection, 2004, 49 (4), 257 - 261.
- Girvan, Michelle, Duncan S. Callaway, M. E. J. Newman, and Steven H. Strogatz, "Simple model of epidemics with pathogen mutation," *Phys. Rev. E*, Mar 2002, *65*, 031915. Glocker, Daniela and Daniel Sturm, "War-Time Destruction and the Persistence of Economic

Activity," February 2014. memo.

- Gottfried, Robert S., *The Black Death: Natural and Human Disaster in Medieval Europe*, New York: The Free Press, 1983.
- Hanlon, W. Walker, "Temporary Shocks and Persistent Effects in Urban Economies: Evidence from British Cities after the U.S. Civil War," *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, March 2017, 99 (1), 67–79.
- 67–79. and Stephan Heblich, "History and Urban Economics," Working Paper 27850, National Bureau of Economic Research September 2020.
- Hatcher, John and Mark Bailey, Modelling the Middle Ages, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.
- Henderson, J. V. and J. F. Thisse, eds, *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics*, Vol. 4 of *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics*, Elsevier, 2004.
- Henderson, J. Vernon, Adam Storeygard, and Uwe Deichmann, "Has climate change driven urbanization in Africa?," *Journal of Development Economics*, 2017, *124*, 60 82.
- Henderson, J Vernon, Tim Squires, Adam Storeygard, and David Weil, "The Global Distribution of Economic Activity: Nature, History, and the Role of Trade1," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 09 2017, *133* (1), 357–406.
- 09 2017, 133 (1), 357–406. Herlihy, David, "Plague and Social Change in Rural Pistoia, 1201-1430," *The Economic History Review*, 1965, 18 (2), 225–244.
- Hornbeck, Richard and Daniel Keniston, "Creative Destruction: Barriers to Urban Growth and the Great Boston Fire of 1872," *American Economic Review*, June 2017, *107* (6), 1365–1398.
- Horrox, Rosmery, ed., The Black Death Manchester University Press Manchester 1994.
- Jedwab, Remi and Dietrich Vollrath, "The Urban Mortality Transition and Poor-Country Urbanization," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, January 2019, *11* (1), 223–275.
- _____, Noel D. Johnson, and Mark Koyama, "Negative shocks and mass persecutions: evidence from the Black Death," *Journal of Economic Growth*, December 2019, *24* (4), 345–395.
 _____, ____, and ____, "Medieval cities through the lens of urban economics," *Regional Science and Urban*
- __ , __ , and __ , "Medieval cities through the lens of urban economics," *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 2020, p. 103598.
- _, _, and _, "The Economic Impact of the Black Death," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2021.
- Kaplan, Jed O., Kristen M. Krumhardt, and Niklaus Zimmermann, "The prehistoric and preindustrial deforestation of Europe," *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 2009, 28 (27), 3016 3034.
 Laslett, Peter and Richard Wall, *Household and Family in Past Time.*, New York.: Cambridge
- Laslett, Peter and Richard Wall, *Household and Family in Past Time.*, New York.: Cambridge University Press, 1972.
- Maloney, William F. and Felipe Valencia Caicedo, "The Persistence of (Subnational) Fortune," *Economic Journal*, 2015, *Forthcoming*.
- Masschaele, James, "Transport Costs in Medieval England," *The Economic History Review*, 1993, 46 (2), 266–279.
- McCormick, Michael, Origins of the European Economy, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- ____, Guoping Huang, Giovanni Zambotti, and Jessica Lavash, "Roman Road Network," 2013. DARMC Scholarly Data Series, Data Contribution Series 2013-5. DARMC, Center for Geographic Analysis, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138.
- McEvedy, Colin and Richard Jones, Atlas of World Population History, New York: Facts of File, 1978.
- Mendels, Franklin F., "Proto-industrialization: The First Phase of the Industrialization Process," *The Journal of Economic History*, 1972, 32 (1), 241–261.
- Michaels, Guy and Ferdinand Rauch, *The Economic Journal*, 2018, *128* (608), 378–412.
- Miethke, Jürgen, David B. Priest, and William J. Courtenay, eds, *Universities and Schooling in Medieval Society* German Historical Institute Washington, D.C. 2000.
- Munro, John H., "The Medieval Origins of the Modern Financial Revolution," *The International History Review*, 2003, *25*, 505–62.
- Nicholas, David, The later medieval city, 1300-1500, Harlow: Longman Limited, 1997.
- Nickell, Stephen J, "Biases in Dynamic Models with Fixed Effects," *Econometrica*, November 1981, 49 (6), 1417–1426.
- Nunn, Nathan and Nancy Qian, "The Potato's Contribution to Population and Urbanization: Evidence From A Historical Experiment," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2011, *126* (2), 593–650.
- Nussli, Christos, 2011. URL: http://www.euratlas.com/about.html.
- Ogilvie, Sheilagh, *The European Guilds: An Economic Analysis*, Princeton, NJ.: Princeton University Press, 2019.

- Pamuk, Şevket, "The Black Death and the origins of the 'Great Divergence' across Europe, 1300-1600," *European Review of Economic History*, 2007, *11* (3), 289–317.
- Penn, Simon A. C. and Christopher Dyer, "Wages and Earnings in Late Medieval England: Evidence from the Enforcement of the Labour Laws," *The Economic History Review*, 1990, 43 (3), 356–376.
- Pfister, U., "Proto-industrialization and Demographic Change: The Canton of Zürich Revisited," *Journal of european economic history*, 1989, *18* (3), 629–662.
- Platt, Colin, *King Death: The Black Death and its aftermath in late-medieval England*, London: UCL Press, 1996.
- Poos, L.R., A Rural Society After the Black Death, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- Pryor, J. H., *Geography, Technology, and War: Studies in the Maritime History of the Mediterranean,* 649-1571., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Redding, Stephen J. and Daniel M. Sturm, "Estimating Neighborhood Effects: Evidence from Wartime Destruction in London," 2016. Mimeo.
- Russell, Josiah Cox, *Medieval Regions and their Cities*, Newton Abbot, Devon: David & Charles, 1972. Schmid, Boris V., Ulf Büntgen, W. Ryan Easterday, Christian Ginzler, Lars Walløe, Barbara Bramanti,
- and Nils Chr. Stenseth, "Climate-driven introduction of the Black Death and successive plague reintroductions into Europe," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2015, *112* (10), 3020–3025.
- Scott, Susan and Christopher J. Duncan, *Biology of plagues evidence from historical populations*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- Shepherd, William R., Historical Atlas, New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1923.
- Shrewsbury, J.F.D, A History of Bubonic Plague in the British Isles, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1970.
- Siuda, Fabian and Uwe Sunde, "Disease and Demographic Development: The Legacy of the Black Death:," June 2017. Mimeo.
- Sloane, Barney, The Black Death in London, London: The History Press, 2011.
- Stasavage, David, "Was Weber Right? The Role of Urban Autonomy in Europe's Rise," *American Political Science Review*, 5 2014, *108*, 337–354.
- Sumpton, Jonathan, *The Hundred Years War II: Trial by Fire*, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1999.
- Talbert, Richard J. A, ed., *Barrington Atlas of the Greek and Roman World* Princeton University Press Princeton 2000.
- Theilmann, John and Frances Cate, "A Plague of Plagues: The Problem of Plague Diagnosis in Medieval England," *The Journal of Interdisciplinary History*, 2007, *37* (3), 371–393.
- UNECA, *Socio-Economic Impacts of the Ebola on Africa*, New York, NY: United Nations Economic Commission for Africa, 2015.
- Voigtländer, Nico and Hans-Joachim Voth, "How the West Invented Fertility Restriction," *American Economic Review*, October 2013, *103* (6), 2227–2264.
- __ and __, "The Three Horsemen of Riches: Plague, War, and Urbanization in early modern Europe," *Review of Economic Studies*, 2013, 80, 774–811.
- Waldinger, Maria, "The economic effects of long-term climate change: evidence from the little ice age," GRI Working Papers 214, Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment 2015.
- Weber, Max, The City, New York: Free Press, 1958.
- Weil, David N., Journal of African Economies, November 2010, 19 (suppl3), 81-109.
- ____ and Joshua Wilde, "How Relevant Is Malthus for Economic Development Today?," The American Economic Review, 2009, 99 (2), 255–260.
- Wilde, Joshua, "How Substitutable are Fixed Factors in Production? Evidence from Pre-Industrial England," May 2017. Manuscript.
- Woods, Robert, "Urban-Rural Mortality Differentials: An Unresolved Debate.," *Population and Development Review*, 2003, 29 (1), 29 46.
- Young, Alwyn, "The Gift of the Dying: The Tragedy of AIDS and the Welfare of Future African Generations," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2005, 120 (2), 423–466.
- Zanden, Jan Luiten Van, Eltjo Buringh, and Maarten Bosker, "The rise and decline of European parliaments, 1188–1789," *Economic History Review*, 08 2012, 65 (3), 835–861.
- Ziegler, Philip, *The Black Death*, London: Collins, 1969.



Figure 1: Black Death Mortality Rates (%) in 1347-1352

Notes: This map plots the location of all 165 existing cities (i.e. $loc. \ge 1,000$ inh.) in 1300 for which we know their Black Death mortality rate (%) in 1347-52 and the modern boundaries of the 16 Western European countries of our analysis.

Figure 2: Timing of the Onset of the Black Death and Black Death Mortality



(a) Timing of Black Death Onset and Mortality (b) Month of Black Death Onset and Mortality

Notes: Subfig. 2(a) shows for 124 cities the relationship between mortality and the timing of the onset of the Black Death in the city. Number of months is measured since Oct. 1347, the date Messina – the port of entry of the Black Death in Europe – was infected by mischance. Subfig. 2(b) shows for 124 cities and for each month of peak infection (month of first infection + 3.5) the average mortality rate purged of year of infection fixed effects. The quadratic fit shows that mortality was the highest when peak mortality was in the summer and the lowest in the winter. The quadratic fit omits October, which has high mortality rates due to pathogenic reasons and being the month of onset of the Black Death in Europe.

Figure 3: Mortality Rates, City Size and City Market Access in 1300



Notes: Subfig. 3(a) shows the relationship between mortality (%, 1347-1352) and log city pop. in 1300 for our main sample of 165 cities (Y = 42.5*** -1.01 X; R2 = 0.00). Subfig. 3(b) shows for the same 165 cities the relationship between mortality (%, 1347-1352) and log market access to all 1,801 cities in 1300 (Y = 40.0*** -0.20 X; R2 = 0.00). Market access for city *i* is defined as $MA_i = \sum_j (P_j/D_{ij})^{\sigma}$, with P_j being the pop. of town $j \neq i$, D_{ij} the travel time between city *i* and city *j*, and $\sigma = 3.8$. To obtain the travel times, we compute the least cost travel paths via four transportation modes — by sea, by river, by road and by walk — with the transportation speeds from Boerner and Severgnini (2014).

Effect of Black Death Mortality 1347-1352 (%) in Each Year Black Death 1347-1352 ŝ 0 <u>.</u> *** *** 1200 1300 1600 1500 1700 1400 Non-Panel Panel Panel w/ Log Pop.

Figure 4: Yearly Effect of Black Death Mortality 1347-52 (%), Panel Regressions

Notes: The figure shows the year-specific effects of Black Death Mortality (%) in 1347-1352. The omitted year for the panel regressions is 1750. Non-panel regressions consist of repeated cross-sectional regressions for each century. See text for details. Robust SE's (clustered at the city level for the panel regressions): * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.





(a) Changes in Pop. Ranks Among the 165 Cities (b) Fixed Factors & Counterfactual Ranks 2015

Notes: Subfig. 5(a) shows for the 165 cities of the main sample the relationship between their inverted pop. rank in 1300 (among the 165 cities; 0 =largest city) and their inverted pop. rank in 1600 (among the 165 cities; 0 =largest city). Subfig. 5(b) shows the relationship between the predicted 2015 inverted pop. rank (among the 165 main cities; 0 =largest city) when using their respective populations in 1300 and the Tobit-estimated regression results of Table 8 (incl. the independent effects of the variables shown at left) and the predicted 2015 inverted pop. rank (0 =largest city) when ignoring the interacted effects of mortality with the fixed factors (cereal, potato, pastoral, coast, rivers, road, Hanseatic).



Figure 6: Total Contribution of the Fixed Factors to Aggregate Patterns

Notes: Subfig. 6(a) shows for each period from 1300-1400 ("1400") to 1300-2015 ("2015") the sum of the absolute values of the beta coefficients for the interacted effects of mortality with: (i) the fixed factors (cereal, potato, pastoral, coast, rivers, road intersection, Hanseatic League); (ii) the population in the aftermath of the Black Death (estimated for the year 1353); and (iii) institutions (monarchy, state capital, representative body). Subfig. 6(b) shows the percentage share of the total pop. of the 165 main cities that is located along a river in the raw population data ("unconditional") and based on 1300 population \times the recovery effect of rivers to predicted city population growth ("from recovery effect of rivers").

Dep. Var. Col. (1)-(7): Percentage Change in City Population (%) in Period t City Pop. \geq 1,00									≥ 1,000 in t
t:	1300-	1300-	1300-	1300-	1300-	1100-	1200-	1100-	1200-
	1400	1500	1600	1700	1750	1200	1300	1200	1300
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
β	-0.87***	-0.28	0.36	0.47	0.85	-0.25	0.16	0.00	0.00
	[0.28]	[0.38]	[0.80]	[1.00]	[1.17]	[0.34]	[0.59]	[0.00]	[0.00]
Obs.	165	164	164	164	164	62	93	165	165
\mathbb{R}^2	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01

Table 1: BLACK DEATH MORTALITY RATES AND CITY GROWTH, 1100-1750

Notes: The main sample consists of 165 cities (i.e. loc. \geq 1,000 inh.) that existed in 1300 and for which mortality is available. Col. (1)-(7) show the effect β_t of the mortality rate (%) in 1347-1352 on the percentage change in city pop. (%) for each period t. We use city pop. in the initial year of period t as regression weights. Col. (8)-(9) show the effect of the mortality rate on the likelihood of being above 1,000. Robust SE's: * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01. See Web Appendix for data sources.

Table 2: CITY CHARACTERISTICS AND BLACK DEATH MORTALITY RATES

Dependent Variable:	Black Death Mortality Rate (%, 1347-1352)						
	(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)	
Average Temperature 1500-1600 (d)	0.16	[0.66]			0.55	[0.95]	
Elevation (m)	0.00	[0.01]			0.00	[0.01]	
Cereal Suitability Index	1.08	[1.60]			2.11	[1.77]	
Potato Suitability Index	0.16	[1.90]			-1.05	[2.03]	
Pastoral Suitability Index	0.58	[4.25]			1.30	[4.55]	
Coast 10 Km Dummy	4.64	[3.19]			4.08	[3.86]	
Rivers 10 Km Dummy	-5.29**	[2.63]			-4.81	[3.25]	
Longitude (d)	-0.12	[0.21]			0.09	[0.32]	
Latitude (d)	-0.88**	[0.42]			-0.61	[0.55]	
Log City Population in 1300		-0.56	[1.34]		-2.02	[1.90]	
Log Market Access in 1300		-0.49	[0.71]		-0.34	[0.82]	
Maj.Roman Rd (MRR) 10 Km Dummy		-3.35	[7.57]		-1.99	[6.04]	
MRR Intersection 10 Km Dummy		3.86	[4.15]		5.56	[4.09]	
Any Roman Rd (ARR) 10 Km Dummy		7.55	[8.08]		4.73	[6.65]	
ARR Intersection 10 Km Dummy		-1.99	[4.59]		-1.44	[4.50]	
Medieval Route (MR) 10 Km Dummy		0.80	[3.12]		2.40	[3.07]	
MR Intersection 10 Km Dummy		-5.52	[4.82]		-6.25	[4.99]	
Market and Fair Dummy		-5.10	[3.55]		-2.89	[4.06]	
Hanseatic League Dummy		0.46	[4.77]		4.44	[5.97]	
Aqueduct 10 Km Dummy		2.72	[3.77]		-0.10	[3.86]	
University Dummy		6.56	[4.26]		5.82	[4.52]	
Monarchy in 1300 Dummy			4.02	2 [4.43]	2.60	[4.58]	
State Capital in 1300 Dummy			3.73	8 [4.40]	1.49	[4.78]	
Representative Body in 1300 Dummy			-4.0	8 [3.50]	0.34	[3.88]	
Parliamentary Activity in 1300-1400			0.50) [3.99]	-0.11	[4.13]	
Log Distance to Parliament in 1300			0.59) [0.48]	0.06	[0.45]	
Battle w/i 100 Km in 1300-1350 Dummy			-3.8	0 [2.80]	-2.49	[2.95]	
Obs.: R ²	165: 0.	16 165: 0	.08 16	5: 0.07	165	: 0.23	

Notes: This table shows the effects of city characteristics on mortality (%, 1347-52). Robust SE's: * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.

Table 3: MORTALITY AND CITY GROWTH, INVESTIGATION OF CAUSALITY

	iunge in en	ij i opule	(/0	,		
Regression:	(1) <i>t</i> =	= 1300-14	ł00	(2) $t = 1300 - 1600$		
1. Baseline (See Columns (1) and (3) of Table 1)	-0.87***	[0.28]	165	0.36	[0.80]	164
2. Controls: All	-0.59***	[0.21]	165	-0.37	[0.70]	164
3. 13 Country (2018) FE	-0.62**	[0.26]	165	0.03	[0.76]	164
4. 7 States (1300) FE (for States $>=$ 5 Cities)	-0.82**	[0.35]	105	-0.29	[0.68]	104
5. 12 5x5 Degree Cell FE (for Cell >= 5 Cities)	-0.64**	[0.32]	140	-0.26	[0.63]	139
6. Dropping Top and Bottom 5% in Mortality	-0.67**	[0.29]	145	1.36	[1.12]	144
7. Dropping More Hygienic Cities	-0.89***	[0.30]	161	0.59	[0.90]	160
8. Ctrls for Dummy & Num. Plague Recur. 50km	-0.87***	[0.27]	165	0.41	[0.81]	164
9. Ctrls for Dummy & Num. Battles 50km	-0.84***	[0.28]	165	0.77	[0.78]	164
10. Ctrl for Num. of Famines in Region/Country	-0.80***	[0.29]	165	0.45	[0.77]	164
11. Ctrls for Jewish Pres., Pers., Pogr. 1347-1352	-0.83***	[0.30]	165	0.28	[0.81]	164
12. Drop if Jewish Persecution 1347-1352	-0.71***	[0.30]	115	0.67	[0.96]	114
13. IV1: Timing w/ Controls (IV F-stat = 11.8)	-1.07**	[0.50]	124	0.05	[1.32]	124
14. IV2: Messina w/ Controls (IV F-stat = 22.6)	-1.20**	[0.56]	163	-0.68	[1.69]	163
15. IV3: Month w/ Controls (IV F-stat = 6.0)	-0.93***	[0.33]	124	-0.23	[0.58]	124
16. IV1+IV2+IV3 w/ Controls (IV F-stat = 6.8)	-1.29***	[0.39]	123	0.53	[0.58]	123

Dependent Variable: Percentage Change in City Population (%) in Period t

Notes: Row 2: Adding the controls of Table 2. Row 3: Adding 13 country FE. Rows 4-5: Adding 44 state FE or 26 cell FE but excl. states/cells with less than 5 cities. Row 6: Dropping top and bottom 5% mort. rates. Row 7: Dropping cities with a better hygiene system. Rows 8-9: Adding a dummy if there was a plague recurrence/battle and their numbers in 1353-1400 or 1353-1600. Row 10: Adding the number of famines experienced by the city in *t*. Row 11: Adding dummies if Jews were present, and if a persecution, and a pogrom in particular, took place. Row 12: Excl. cities with Jewish persecutions. Row 13: IV = number of months between the city-specific date of first infection and Oct. 1347. Row 14: IV = Euclid. dist. to Messina, controlling for (pop.-weighted) avg. Euclid. dist. to European and MENA cities in 1300 (Messina is dropped). Row 15: IV = 11 dummies for the month of peak infection (= month of onset (Oct. is omitted) + 3.5). We add dummies for the year of infection. Rows 13-16: We add the controls of Table 2 and the squares and cubes of longitude and latitude. Robust SE's (clust. at state (1300) level in rows 12-15): † p=0.17, * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.

Table 4: MORTALITY AND CITY GROWTH, ROBUSTNESS CHECKS

1 0	0	J		·		
Regression:	(1) <i>t</i> =	= 1300-14	00	(2) <i>t</i>	= 1300-16	600
1. Baseline (See Columns (1) and (3) of Table 1)	-0.87***	[0.28]	165	0.36	[0.80]	164
2. Add Pct. Change in Pop. 1200-1300 as Control	-1.07***	[0.36]	93	0.83	[1.04]	93
3. Absolute △Pop. 1300-1400 & Mortality 1347-52	-0.86**	[0.42]	162	0.83	[0.99]	161
4. SEs Clustered at State ca 1300 Level (N = 64)	-0.87***	[0.27]	165	0.36	[0.68]	164
5. Conley Standard Errors (500 km)	-0.87***	[0.17]	165	0.36	[0.58]	164
6. Dummies for Type of Mortality Data	-0.94***	[0.30]	165	0.27	[0.84]	164
7. Excl. Description-Based Mortality Data (N = 25)	-0.83***	[0.32]	140	0.37	[0.97]	139
8. Excl. Desertion-Based Mortality Data (N = 21)	-0.98***	[0.31]	144	0.31	[0.86]	143
9. Excl. Clergy-Based Mortality Data (N = 5)	-0.86***	[0.28]	160	0.38	[0.80]	158
10. Use Only Number-Based Mortality Data	-0.96***	[0.36]	114	0.35	[1.06]	113
11. Keeping Top and Bottom 10% in Mortality	0.99**	[0.39]	_34	-0.21	[1.01]	_34
12. City Population Data: Bairoch Only	-0.78*	[0.41]	151	0.47	[0.80]	150
13. City Population Data: Chandler Only	-0.85**	[0.36]	59	1.37	[1.10]	60
14. Use Mortality of Nearest Avail. City w/i 50 km	-0.60***	[0.22]	290	0.41	[0.59]	286
15. Use Mortality of Other Cities in Same State	-0.70***	[0.17]	380	0.33	[0.63]	374
16. Extrapolated Rates Based on 274 Cities in 1300	-0.68***	[0.21]	464	0.43	[0.54]	457
17. Reweighting to Match Full City Size Dist.	-0.74***	[0.24]	165	-0.06	[0.65]	164

Dependent Variable: Percentage Change in City Population (%) in Period t

Notes: See text for details on each robustness check. Robust SE's: p=0.17, p=0.10, ** p=0.05, *** p=0.01.

	D	<i>ependent V</i>	<i>/ariable</i> : Po	ercentage C	hange in City Population (%) in				
	(1	1)-(4) Perio	d 1300-140)0	(5)-(8) Period 1300-1600				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Mortality Rate (%)	-0.72**	-0.75**	-0.68**	-0.79**	-0.08	0.05	0.16	-0.12	
	[0.31]	[0.31]	[0.33]	[0.34]	[0.82]	[0.82]	[0.84]	[0.84]	
Indirect Mort. (%)	-0.39 [0.43]	-0.40 [0.47]	-0.57 [0.47]	-0.16 [0.39]	1.49 $[1.50]$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.08\\ [1.18] \end{array}$	0.60 [1.78]	$\begin{array}{c} 1.07 \\ [1.16] \end{array}$	
Definition Indirect	State	Country	Dist10%	MAshock	State	Country	Dist10%	MAshock	
Observations	160	165	165	165	159	164	164	164	

Table 5:MORTALITY AND CITY GROWTH, SPILLOVER EFFECTS, 1300-1600

Notes: State/Country: Avg. mortality rate of other cities in the same state (1300) / country (2018). Dist10%: Avg. mortality rate of other cities within the bottom 10% of Euclidean distance to the city. MAshock: Pct. change in market access between 1300 and 1353. To construct market access in 1353, we use the predicted pop. of the other cities in the aftermath of the Black Death (= pop. in 1300 x (100-mortality)/100). Since mortality is only available for a subset of the other cities, we use spatially extrapolated mortality rates for cities without mortality data. Note that for each of the 165 city-observations, the extrapolated mortality rates are constructed excluding the mortality rate of the city itself. Robust SE's: * p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

Table 6: MORTALITY AND CITY GROWTH, AGGREGATE EFFECTS, 1300-1600

Panel A: Dep. Var.:	Percentage Change in Total CityDummy ifLog PoPopulation (%) in Period 1300-1400Exists 14001400									
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)			
Mortality Rate (%)	-0.87*** [0.28]	-1.15*** [0.40]	-1.47** [0.57]	-1.13* [0.62]	-1.27** [0.58]	-0.002*** [0.001]	-0.004*** [0.001]			
Unit Population Observations	City Intensive 165	State Intensive 68	State Total 68	Country Intensive 15	Country Total 15	City Extensive 1,335	City Extensive 1,335			
	Percentage Change in Total CityDummy ifLog Pop.Population (%) in Period 1300-1600Exists 16001600									
Panel B: Dep. Var.:	I Po	Percentage pulation (%	Change ii 6) in Peric	n Total City od 1300-160	0	Dummy if Exists 1600	Log Pop. 1600			
Panel B: Dep. Var.:	1 Po (1)	Percentage pulation (% (2)	Change in 6) in Peric (3)	n Total City od 1300-160 (4)	0 (5)	Dummy if Exists 1600 (6)	Log Pop. 1600 (7)			
Panel B: Dep. Var.: Mortality Rate (%)	[0.80]	Percentage pulation (%) (2) -1.49 [1.32]	Change ii 6) in Peric (3) -1.34 [3.17]	n Total City od 1300-160 (4) -1.64 [2.71]	0 (5) -2.49 [5.35]	Dummy if Exists 1600 (6) -0.001 [0.001]	Log Pop. 1600 (7) 0.002 [0.002]			

Notes: State/Country: We run the main regression at the state (1300)/country (2018) level. Intensive/Total: The cities considered to construct total city pop. are the cities that already existed in 1300 / all cities. Extensive: We consider cities that did not already exist in 1300 but existed at one point in Bairoch (1988) (800-1850). Robust SE's: * p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

Table 7: BLACK DEATH MORTALITY AND DESERTED VILLAGES, ENGLAND

Dep.	Perc	entage Chang	Nu	mber of DM	Abs. Change		
Var.:	Popula	ation (%) in Pe	pe	er 1000 Sq K	Urban Share		
	1290-1377	1290-1756	1086-1290	All	≤10Km	>10Km	1290-1756
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
β_t	-0.64**	-0.96	0.05	-0.46***	-0.04	-0.41***	-0.16
	[0.31]	[2.06]	[2.77]	[0.33]	[0.10]	[0.30]	[0.21]
Obs.	27	27	27	28	28	28	27
R2	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.31	0.06	0.35	0.02

Notes: We show for 27-28 counties the effect β_t of mortality (%) on: (1)-(3) the pct change in total pop. (%) in different periods; (4)-(6) the number of DMVs per 1000 sq km (col. (5): Within 10 km from an existing city in 1300; col. (6): Beyond 10 km). We use county pop. in the initial years of the period as weights. Col. (1)-(3) and (7): We exclude Cornwall whose pop. in 1290 is not know. Col. (4)-(6): We control for log pop. in 1290 and log area. Robust SE's: * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.

REMI JEDWAB, NOEL D. JOHNSON AND MARK KOYAMA

Table 8: BLACK DEATH MORTALITY AND POPULATION RECOVERY, 1300-2015

Dependent Variable: Percentag	ge Change	e in City Po	pulation (%) in Perio	d 1300- <i>t</i>	
Period 1300- <i>t</i> :	1400	1500	1600	1700	1750	2015
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Mort.*Cereal Suitability Index	-0.1	0.2	0.6	0.9	1.0	8.8
,	[0.3]	[0.3]	[0.7]	[1.5]	[1.7]	[33.0]
Mort.*Potato Suitability Index	0.3	-0.2	0.9	2.6**	3.0**	51.6**
·	[0.3]	[0.4]	[0.6]	[1.3]	[1.5]	[23.9]
Mort.*Pastoral Suitability Index	0.6	-0.3	-4.1*	-3.2	-5.7*	-63.7
	[0.7]	[1.1]	[2.1]	[2.8]	[3.2]	[61.4]
Mort.*Coast 10 Km Dummy	1.2^{**}	2.9***	4.8^{***}	7.3*	7.6^{*}	72.6
	[0.5]	[0.7]	[1.8]	[3.7]	[4.3]	[79.3]
Mort.*Rivers 10 Km Dummy	-0.5	0.3	1.7	5.0**	6.0**	112.4^{***}
	[0.5]	[0.7]	[1.1]	[2.1]	[2.4]	[39.7]
Mort.*Road Intersection 10 Km Dummy	0.6	1.5*	1.3	2.2	2.7	31.3
	[0.6]	[0.8]	[1.5]	[2.7]	[3.1]	[57.7]
Mort.*Hanseatic League Dummy	2.9***	2.3*	4.1*	7.3*	8.5	92.2
	[0.9]	[1.2]	[2.4]	[4.4]	[5.8]	[104.2]
Mort.*Log Est .City Population 1353	-0.2	0.6	1.4	1.7	2.2	35.7
	[0.2]	[0.4]	[1.0]	[2.0]	[2.3]	[42.0]
Mort.*Monarchy 1300 Dummy	-0.2	0.7	1.2	2.3	1.9	-26.4
	[0.5]	[0.6]	[1.2]	[2.1]	[2.4]	[43.0]
Mort.*State Capital 1300 Dummy	-0.6	-1.5	-0.3	4.7	4.7	-19.1
	[0.8]	[1.3]	[2.5]	[4.3]	[5.3]	[87.1]
Mort.*Representative Body 1300 Dummy	0.8	-0.2	-0.5	-2.1	-3.1	-22.7
	[0.6]	[0.7]	[1.1]	[2.0]	[2.4]	[39.5]
Mortality	-3.9***	-1.8	-6.1*	-19.6**	-20.7**	-373.8**
	[1.4]	[1.9]	[3.5]	[9.2]	[10.2]	[156.9]
Observations	165	164	164	164	164	165
R-squared	0.45	0.29	0.39	0.35	0.35	0.25

Notes: This table shows for the 165 cities the effects of Black Death mortality (%) interacted with 11 selected factors (the 11 interacted effects are simultaneously included). We only show the interacted effects and the effect of mortality but the factors are used as controls. We use as weights city population in 1300. Robust SE's: * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.

Table 9: MORTALITY AND POPULATION RECOVERY, OTHER RESULTS

Dependent Variable: Percentage Change in City Population (%) in Period 1300-t											
Period 1300-t:	1500 (1)	1600 (2)	1700 (3)	1750 (4)		1500 (5)	1600 (6)	1700 (7)	1750 (8)		
Each row is for a separate regression and shows the effect of Mortality (%) \times the variable shown at left											
1. Truly Coastal	2.8*** [0.7]	4.4^{**} [1.7]	6.7** [3.3]	7.2* [3.7]	4. Log Pop 1300	1.5 [7.0]	3.4 [12.0]	-11.0 [23.2]	-17.2 [27.7]		
50KmCoast Estuary	2.7* [1.6]	5.2 [3.6]	18.3** [7.7]	26.2** [10.1]	5 Market Access	0.2 [0.2]	0.3 [0.3]	-0.6 [0.4]	-0.9* [0.5]		
50KmCoast Ot.River	1.9* [1.0]	3.8* [2.0]	4.9 [4.1]	3.3 [4.8]	6 State Pop. Size	0.0 [0.3]	0.0 [0.4]	-0.7 [0.8]	-1.0 [1.1]		
2. BestCoast Medi.	3.3*** [0.8]	5.0*** [1.8]	7.8** [3.5]	[4.1]	7. Guild	0.3 [0.8]	-0.1 [1.1]	-1.8 [1.9]	-3.0 [2.3]		
BestCoast Atlantic	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9\\ [1.7] \end{array}$	3.2 [3.1]	10.0* [5.9]	16.2* [8.3]	8. Market Fair	-1.4 [0.9]	-2.1* [1.2]	-2.4 [1.8]	-1.4 [2.1]		
3. BestCoast Mon	3.8*** [0.9]	5.7*** [1.8]	8.6** [3.7]	8.8** [4.5]	9. Log Wall Area	-1.1 [1.4]	-2.0 [1.5]	-6.7* [3.7]	-6.9 [4.5]		
BestCoast No-Mon	1.5 [1.0]	1.7 [1.8]	1.4 [3.0]	2.4 [3.6]	10. Bishopric	0.0 [0.6]	-0.7 [0.9]	-1.9 [1.6]	-3.8* [2.0]		
Ot.River Mon	0.6 [0.9]	1.9 [1.9]	4.8* [2.5]	5.1* [2.9]	11. University	1.1 [1.0]	3.5** [1.6]	3.0 [2.6]	1.7 [3.1]		
Ot.River No-Mon	0.9 [0.9]	2.1 [1.3]	3.5 [2.6]	3.8 [3.5]	12. Serfdom	-2.5 [1.8]	-5.7** [2.6]	-9.8* [5.6]	-10.9 [9.3]		

Notes: This table is similar to Table 8 except we add the variable shown at left and show the effect of its interaction with Black Death mortality (%). We use as weights city population in 1300. Robust SE's: * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01.

54